



TRAC – Capturing Cleanup Progress at Environmental Management Sites

May 21, 2024 Christian Johnson Senior Development Engineer



PNNL is operated by Battelle for the U.S. Department of Energy

PNNL-SA-178953









- What is TRAC? Why is it needed?
- What does TRAC show?
- How is information managed in TRAC
- Future work
- Conclusions







Tracking Restoration and Closure (TRAC)

- Web-based application to communicate about status of groundwater contaminant plumes and progress toward closure
 - Consolidates narratives, metrics, and geospatial data
 - For U.S. Department of Energy (DOE) Office of Environmental Management (EM) sites
- Hosted on Amazon Web Services (AWS) cloud computing
 - Robust, flexible, and cost-effective framework
- TRAC version 2.0 released October 2023
 - Improved usability & features
 - Additional site data
- Part of DOE-EM groundwater closure strategy
 - Supports planning and decision-making about remaining plumes









Why is TRAC Needed?

- Provides dynamic online information resource for DOE-EM
 - Supports DOE-EM programs and mission
 - ✓ E.g., Groundwater closure strategy, Technology Development, Small Business Innovative Research, **Minority Serving Institutions**
- Provides a single endpoint for integrating and standardizing data between **DOE-EM sites/organizations**
 - Consistent framework for presenting progress towards site closure
- Facilitates effective communication
 - Between headquarters and DOE-EM sites
 - Between sites and stakeholders / regulators
 - Promotes sharing of technologies, successes, and lessons learned
- Mechanism for transparency and effective engagement with stakeholders
 - Complies with Programmatic Environmental Impact Statement Settlement Agreement





Transitioning from Manual Input for Groundwater Plume Book to TRAC

- Previously, sites sent data to DOE-EM headquarters as tabular information and printed materials
- Material was compiled into a static report by DOE-EM headquarters staff

	artment of Energy Groundw	vater Database Ground	water Master Report							
Installation Name, State:	Idaho National Laboratory, ID									
Responsible DOE Office:	Office of Nuclear Energy									
Plume Name: Remediation Contractor:	WAG-7									
Refrediation Contractor.	CWI									
PBS Number: 30			Last Updated: 2012							
	Co	ntaminants				Ĺ.				
Halogenated VOCs/SVOCs Present?	Ves No									
VOC Name	Concentration (ppb)	Regulatory Driver								
CCI4	5.4	3 🖌 Yes 🗌 No	Office of ENVIRONMENT	TAL MANAGE	MENT					
		Yes No								
		Yes No								
		Yes No								
Fuels Present?	Ves V No			Office o	f Enviro	nment	al Man	agement		
Fuel Name	Concentration (ppb)	Regulatory Driver								
		Yes No	Idaha Na	tion			0.01	oto	14/	N C
		Yes No	Iudrio Na	tion	dI	Ldl	001	alu	лу - vv/	40
		Yes No								
		Yes No			JAN	JARY 1,	2014			
Matals Present?	Vec II No									
Metals Present?	Ves VNO	Regulatory Driver								
Metals Present? Metal Name	Ves VNo Concentration (ppb)	Regulatory Driver			f	У	in	Р		
Metals Present? Metal Name	Ves No Concentration (ppb)	Regulatory Driver Yes No Yes No		M	f	y	in	P		
Metals Present? Metal Name	Ves V No Concentration (ppb)	Regulatory Driver Yes No Yes No Yes No Yes No	Office of Environmental Manag	gement > Ideh	f Nationa	الله Laborat	in tory - wat	P		
Metals Present? Metal Name	Ves Vo Concentration (ppb)	Regulatory Driver Yes No Yes No Yes No Yes No Yes No Yes No	Office of Environmental Manag	gement > Ideh			in tory - wat	P		
Metals Present? Metal Name	Ves Vo Concentration (ppb)	Regulatory Driver Yes No Yes No Yes No Yes No Yes No Yes No	Office of Environmental Manag US DEPARTMENT OF E GROUNDWATER MAS	sement > Ideh ENERGY Gi TER REPOI	F Nationa ROUNE RT	S Laborat	in tory - wat	P ABASE		
Metals Present? Metal Name	Concentration (ppb)	Regulatory Driver Yes No Yes No Yes No Yes No	Office of Environmental Manag US DEPARTMENT OF F GROUNDWATER MAS Installation Name, State:	ENERGY G TER REPO	F Nationa ROUNE RT	y I Laborat DWATE	in tory - wate	P ABASE		
Metals Present? Metal Name	Concentration (ppb)	Yes No Yes No Yes No Yes No Yes No Yes No	Office of Environmental Manag US DEPARTMENT OF F GROUNDWATER MAS Installation Name, State: Responsible DD Office:	ENERGY G TER REPOI	f ROUNE RT onal Labo uclear Ene	Laborat Laborat VWATE ratory, IC orgy	in tory - wate	P		
Metals Present? Metal Name	Concentration (ppb)	Yes No Yes No Yes No Yes No Yes No Yes No	Office of Environmental Manag US DEPARTMENT OF E GROUNDWATER MAS Installation Name, State: Responsible D0E Office: Plume Name:	ENERGY Gi TER REPOI Idaho Nati Office of Ni WAG-1	f ROUNE RT onal Labo uclear Ene	S Laborat DWATE ratory, IC orgy	tory - wat	P ABASE		
Metals Present? Metal Name	Concentration (ppb)	Regulatory Driver Yes No Yes No Yes No Yes No Yes No	Office of Environmental Manag US DEPARTMENT OF E GROUNDWATER MAS Installation Name, State: Responsible DDE office: Flume Name: Remediation Contractor:	ENERGY Gi TER REPOI Idaho Nati Office of Ni WAG-1 CWI	F ROUNE RT onal Labo uclear Ene	SWATE PWATE ratory, IC orgy	tory - wat	P ABASE		
Metals Present? Metal Name	Concentration (ppb)	Regulatory Driver Yes No Yes No Yes No Yes No Yes No	Office of Environmental Manag US DEPARTMENT OF F GROUNDWATER MAS Installation Name, State: Responsible DO Office: Plume Name: Remediation Contractor: PSIS Number:	ENERGY GI TER REPOI Idaho Nati Office of Ni WAG-1 CWI 30	F ROUNE RT onal Labo uclear Ene	SWATE PWATE ratory, IC orgy	in tory - WAC	P ABASE		
Metals Present? Metal Name	Concentration (ppb)	Yes No Yes No Yes No Yes No Yes No	Office of Environmental Manag US DEPARTMENT OF E GROUNDWATER MAS Installation Name, State: Responsible D06 Office: Flume Name Remediation Contractor: PBS Number: Report Last Updated;	ement > idah ENERGY G TER REPOI Idaho Nati Office of N WAG-1 CWI 30 2014	F ROUNE RT onal Labo	WATE ratory, IC orgy	er DAT	P ABASE		
Metals Present? Metal Name	Concentration (ppb)	Regulatory Driver Yes No Yes No Yes No Yes No Yes No	Office of Environmental Manag US DEPARTMENT OF F GROUNDWATER MAS Installation Name, State: Responsible DO Office: Plume Name: Remediation Contractor: PBS Number: Report Last Updated: CONTAMINANTS	ement > Idahu ENERGY G Idaho Natii Office of Ni WAG-1 CWI 30 2014	f ROUNE RT onal Labo uclear Ene	SWATE ratory, IE orgy	in tory - wat	P ABASE		
Metals Present? Metal Name	Concentration (ppb)	Regulatory Driver Yes No Yes No Yes No Yes No Yes No	Office of Environmental Manag US DEPARTMENT OF E GROUNDWATER MAS Installation Name, State: Responsible DOE Office: Plume Name Remediation Contractor PBS Number Report Last Updated: CONTAMINANTS Halogenated VOCs/SVOC4	ement > idah ENERGY G Idaho Nati Office of N WAG-1 CWI 30 2014 Present?:	F o Nationa ROUNE RT onal Labo uclear Ene	SWATE ratory, IC rrgy	tory - WAG	P ABASE		
Metals Present? Metal Name	Concentration (ppb)	Regulatory Driver Yes No Yes No Yes No Yes No Yes No	Office of Environmental Manag US DEPARTMENT OF F GROUNDWATER MAS Installation Name, State: Responsible DO Office: Plume Name: Remediation Contractor: PBS Number Report Last Updated: CONTAMINANTS Halogenated VOCx/SVOCs J	ement > Idaho ENERGY Gi Office of Ni WAG-1 COVI 30 2014 Present?:	F o Nationa ROUNE RT onal Labo uclear Ene	y I Laborat DWATE ratory, IC	tory - WAG	P ABASE		
Metals Present? Metal Name	Concentration (ppb)	Regulatory Driver Yes No Yes No Yes No Yes No Yes No	Office of Environmental Manag US DEPARTMENT OF F GROUNDWATER MAS Installation Name, State: Responsible DOO Office: Flume Name: Remediation Contractor: PBS Number: Report Last Updated: CONTAMINANTS Halogenated VOCs/SVOCs I	Emergy Gi tech repoint office of Ni WAG-1 CW1 30 2014 Present?:	F Nationa ROUNE RT onal Labo uclear Ene	S Laborat PowATE ratory, IC	ER DAT	P		
Metals Present? Metal Name	Concentration (ppb)	Regulatory Driver Yes No Yes No Yes No Yes No	Office of Environmental Manag US DEPARTMENT OF E GROUNDWATER MAS Installation Name, State: Responsible D06 Office: Plume Name: Remediation Contractor: PES Number: Report Last Updated. CONTAMINANTS Halogenated VOCs/SVOCs J	ement > idahe ENERGY G Idaho Nati Office of Ni WAG-1 CWI 30 2014 Present?: ERATION (PPB)	f ROUNE RT onal Labo uclear End	S Laborat	In I		UP REQUIREMENT	

Hanford	Site: Plu	ume Map										
	100-NR-2	Operable Unit						anter an	1001 - 2003	SEC 1		
	Strontium-90 Strontium-90 treat (P&T) r in situ seque	 Sulfate, Diesel entering Columbia Riv not effective for strontiun estration barrier. 	m-90. Testing				1 C C P	00-HR-3-D hromium hromium ente &T and Barrie	Operable ring Columbia r moderately	Unit a River. Interi effective. Ner	im w	Comments
10	phytoremedi ARRA: \$4.5	iation (willows). M for well drilling.	anu z)	\searrow			E co riv R	xpanded system construction to ver by 2012. &D: 1) improv	em under des meet aquatic Final ROD 20 red resin syste	ign and standards at 12 am		atment required at this time.
Ch P& au teo	Tomium T effective, will be e gmenting P&T units chnologies.	expanded; considering with more effective		15			A an cc	nplementation eduction (biolo RRA: \$5.44M nd treat (100- ombined).	and 2) in situ gical or chem well drilling, 5 HR-3-D and -	i chromium iical). \$3.01M pump H Areas		atment required at this time.
100-BC- Strontium-	5 Operable Unit 90, Chromium, Tritiu action decision requ	m iring remediation.	Cal.	and a second	2	1		1	00-HR-3-H hromium ngoing P&T e	Operable effective in	Unit	g the Columbia River. P&T has been somewhat effective in controlling d removing Cr. Larger treatment facilities are being designed and installed ystem performance.
ARRA: \$1. 200-ZP-1 Op Carbon Tetrach Interim P&T/vap	23M for monitoring v erable Unit loride, Technetium-9 lor extraction providi	well drilling. 99 ng		-	- K	1	~		moving conta xpanded P&T etween D and	iminants. to "Horn" plu H.	me	g the Columbia River. The selected remedy, an in situ barrier, has had hrough. Barrier mending and other alternative approaches being Large P&T system being constructed to work in conjunction with barrier.
partial containm contaminant cor for new expande September 200	ent of highest acentrations. Final R ed P&T approved 3. Design and		H	Q					00-FR-3 O trontium-90, 0	perable Ur Chromium, Nit	nit rate, TCE quiring	in effective in controlling plume migration and removing Cr.
R&D: methods t movement and ARRA: \$80M to	derway o predict plume contaminant degrada construct treatment	ation.			2.	਼ੀ		A	mediation. RRA: \$1.11M rilling.	for monitorin	g well	n effective. Expanded System has been constructed and is operating. develop alternative treatment methods to supplement P&T.
Tacliity and \$6.7 200-UP Uranium, P&T mee	-1 Operable Un Technetium-99 ting interim remedia	it SX TF		~	~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~				Uranium, TCI Uranium ente natural attenu	E, cis-1,2-DCE ring Columbia ation not mee	nit a River, eting	ed to be removed from wells.
Tc-99 plu amended 200	to ZP-1 ROD 20010 -BP-5 Operable	Final ROD will be 0 9 Unit					5		sequestration R&D: polypho bind uranium ARRA: \$1.94	technologies sphate additi	on to	the Columbia River. P&T has stabilized plume migration but has not reduced contaminant levels. The site is now testing apatite sequestration phytoremediation.
Plur P&T	ne growing but not p treatability testing 2	cojected to migrate offs 2010, Final Rod 2011. Contractor	ite.					1100-EM	-1 Operab	le Unit	will be cond	growing but not projected to migrate offsite. Treatability and pump tests tocted in 2010 and Final ROD will be issued in 2011
	Hanford Site	Plateau Remediation Contractor	200-PO-1	RL- 0030	I, Tritium	Õ	Ŏ	Red	$\overline{\bigcirc}$	Green	The plume h Migration of final ROD w	has been shrinking in size naturally and no active remediation is expected. tritium into the Columbia River is currently only slightly above MCLs. A vill be released in 2011.
	Hanford Site	Plateau Remediation Contractor	200-UP-1	RL- 0030	Tc, U	$\left \bigcirc \right $		Green		Green	Interim P&T UP-1 final R	r is operating and recent ESD has added Tc-99 plume at SX Tank Farm. ROD will be added to ZP-1 ROD
	Hanford Site	Plateau Remediation Contractor	200-ZP-1	RL- 0030	Car. Tet.	$ \bigcirc$	\bigcirc	Yellow		Yellow	Interim P&T of plume at September 3	operations have provided partial containment of high concentration portion top of the aquifer. Final ROD for new expanded system approved 2008. New P&T system under construction.
	Hanford Site	Plateau Remediation Contractor	300-FF-5/ 300 Area U Plume	RL- 0030	U	$\left \bigcirc \right $		Red		Red	U is entering work and the	g the Columbia River. The selected remedy, natural attenuation, did not e site is investigating other approaches.





DOE-EM Complex Level Information

- Map overview of DOE-EM sites
- Focus on high-priority contaminants of concern
 - Which sites have the contaminant?
 - Total plume area and area by site
- Summary information on number of management units and status for:
 - Plume migration status
 - Source status
 - Regulatory status
 - Remediation technologies applied
 - Remedy implementation status

TRAC Tracking Restoration An	d Closure v2.0.0	INTENDED USAGE
DOE-EM Complex		
DOE-EM Complex	~	
✓ Plume Information		
	Acres	
+ Cesium-137	0 🔘	Hanford
+ Chromium (VI)	4,080 🔘	Idaho National Laboratory
+ lodine-129	14,577 🔘	
+ Mercury	31 🔘	Moab UMTRA Project
+ Strontium-90	967 🔘	Lawrence Livermore National Librations United Nevada National Security Site
+ Technetium-99	450 🔘	Energy Technology Engineering Lenter
+ Trichloroethene (TCE)	6,253 🔘	Waste Isolation Pilot Pia
+ Uranium	524 🔘	China 1
		Mexico
		Ciuc de Mi
		1000 km









DOE-EM Site Level Information

- · Summary / rollup of metrics for all management units at the site
 - Plume area/status, regulatory status, and technology applied/implementation status
- Map view of geospatial footprints for all groundwater plumes
- Explanatory narratives
 - Site location & history
 - Remediation goals / priorities
 - Conceptual site model
 - Cleanup progress
 - Technology approaches
- Video and photographs





te nentation status





Site Plume Information and Plume Animator

- Plume footprint
 - Total acres
 - Acres for plume footprint by management unit
 - Highlight management units with contaminant
 - Different symbology for plumes at different depths
- Plume animator
 - Changes over time
 - May reflect changes in monitoring from year to year
 - Can show remedy impacts



100-HR-3







Related Links and Supporting Documents

- Related links feature to point at additional resources
 - Websites, documents, online databases/tools, videos, etc.
- Supporting documents
 - Easy document access for users





Edit 🛩 😫 chris.joł	nnsc	on
Supporting Documents	×	≡
FIRST FIVE-YEAR REVIEW OF CERCLA RESPONSE ACTIONS AT		
THE IDAHO NATIONAL LABORATORY SITE— THOUGH FISCAL YEAR 2004		ð
No Description		±
SECOND FIVE-YEAR REVIEW OF CERCLA RESPONSE ACTIONS AT THE IDAHO NATIONAL		Тт
LABORATORY SITE - FISCAL YEARS 2005-2009 (PART 1)		i
SECOND FIVE-YEAR REVIEW OF CERCLA RESPONSE ACTIONS AT THE IDAHO NATIONAL LABORATORY SITE - FISCAL YEARS 2005-2009 (PART 2) No Description		:
THIRD FIVE-YEAR REVIEW OF CERCLA RESPONSE ACTIONS AT THE IDAHO NATIONAL LABORATORY SITE - FISCAL YEARS 2010-2014 No Description		



One-Page Factsheet

- Downloadable PDF file
 - Righthand side menu
- For EM site
 - Summary metrics for management units
 - Partial narrative content
 - ✓ Limited by space
- Useful for sharing, inclusion in a report, etc.



Moab UMTRA Project Site Data

Plume Information Contaminant Ammonia	Area 318.0	Contaminant Uranium	Area 427.0	
Regulatory Information				
Regulatory Cleanup Status		Cleanup Regulations		
ROD ROD Amendment	1	Other		
Technology Information				
Regulatory Cleanup Status	1	Cleanup Regulations Treatment Plan Implemented	1	

Contaminant Ammonia	Area 318.0	Contaminant Uranium	Area 427.0	
Regulatory Information				
Regulatory Cleanup Status	1	Cleanup Regulations		
ROD	1	Other	1	
ROD Amendment]1		I.	
Technology Information				
Regulatory Cleanup Status		Cleanup Regulations		1
Other	1	Treatment Plan Implemented	1	

Contextual Information

Cleanup Progress

Interim groundwater action systems were installed starting in 2003 with a groundwater extraction system located along the Colorado Riverbank. This system was installed to remove contaminant mass from the groundwater and provide a source of water for dust control inside the Contamination Area. This system was updated over the past 20 years to currently extract groundwater from the base of the tailings pile. In addition, a freshwater injection system was installed along the riverbank to establish

Remediation Goals

As directed by UMTRCA, EPA published 40 CFR 192, "Health and Environmental Protection Standards for Uranium and Thorium Mill Tailings." The standards in 40 CFR 192, Subparts A, B, and C, apply to the remediation and final disposition of contaminated materials, including groundwater, for Title I sites. Remediation of the Moab site must be in compliance with these standards. The Subpart A standards for control of residual radioactive materials apply to disposal of these materials at processing or ...

Conceptual Site Model

Groundwater in the Moab region occurs in Milling Operations. The Moab site began the unconsolidated Quaternary material uranium milling operations in October deposited on the floor of Moab/Spanish 1956, and based on historical photographs Valley and in consolidated bedrock the tailings pile was established once the formations. Unconsolidated alluvial mill operations were initiated. The mill was deposits overlie mostly the Paradox originally owned by the Uranium Reduction Formation at the site and comprise two Company but was acquired by Atlas distinct depositional facies: the Moab Wash Corporation in 1962. In 974, Atlas made alluvium and the basin-fill alluvium. The several modifications to its ore processing Moab Wash alluvium includes fine-grained operations. The major modifications were sand, gravelly sand. The basin-fill alluvium is construction of an acid-leach processing subdivided into two units; an upper unit circuit to replace the one destroyed in a (mostly Colorado Ri ... 1968 fire, ...

Site Cleanup Challenges

NRC has not approved a GCAP in many years, so the process and expectations currently have some uncertainty. DOE's objective is a final, approved GCAP by the completion of surface remediation activities, which may be as early as 2027.

DataYear: 2021

1	History
	A ALILLAN C

Site Cleanup Priorities

The surface remediation of the tailings was initiated in April 2009. As of October 2023, 14 mil tons of the estimated 16 mil tons have been relocated to the Crescent Junction Disposal Cell.In conjunction with the surface remediation is groundwater remediation. Currently groundwater Interim Action has been removing contaminant mass and being protective of the Colorado River critical habitats. A final remediation strategy will be included in the site GCAP, which will be submitted to NRC in 2027.Am



Glossary for Terminology

- Definitions of terms helps interpret the metric information in the left pane
 - For both general users and EM site editors/reviewers
- Also tooltips on mouse hover over metric name in left pane
 - Brief definition and points to Glossary for details

TRAC Tracking Restoration A	nd Closure v2.0.0	INTENDED USAGE	Edit 🛩 😫 d
Management Units	~	+ Plume Animator Plume Migration Status List	< Glossary
✓ Plume Information	Data Year: 2021 Acres	This metric is intended to reflect the nature of groundwater plume migration, to indicate if the plume is expanding (i.e., progressing unabated towards site boundary or receptor), already offsite, or contained within site boundaries. The nature of 'offsite' may vary by site, including notions such as impacting surface water, a	Plume Metrics Contaminant Name MCl
+ Trichloroethene (TCE)	1,915 ()	receptor, or extending beyond management or site boundaries. As discussed above, the shape/footprint of a plume may vary over time due to a number of factors, but such changes do not necessarily indicate a change in plume migration status.	Acres
+ Tritium	14,320 🔘	 Hanford Contained - The plume is contained within the current site boundaries; the plume may be stable or shrinking. Expanding - The plume is expanding, but is still within the current site 	Plume Migration Status Source to Groundwater
 Carbon Tetrachloride MCL: 3.4 µg/L 	4,523 🔘	boundaries. Off-site Impact - The plume has migrated beyond the current site	+ Regulatory Metrics
Total	4,523	boundaries.	+ Technology Metrics
 Plume Migration Status 		Source to Groundwater This metric is intended to reflect the status of source contamination contributing to groundwater contaminant plumes. Sources may be contamination in the vadose	
 Contained Expanding Off-site Impact Total 	2 0 0 2	Contained (see Glossary) Plume is stable or shrinking within site se liquid). The selected metric reflects current site knowledge, degree of uncertainty at some management units depending on cterization and understanding about the subsurface and processes controlling controling controlling controlling controlling contro	
+ Source to Groundwater + Technetium-99	452 🍥	Active - The source is releasing contaminant(s) to groundwater, such that source contributions are maintaining or increasing groundwater concentrations at levels above cleanup standards.	
+ Chromium (VI) + Strontium-90	4,083 ()	 Controlled - Source release has been controlled through engineering approaches or is othewise diminishing, such that source contributions are no longer maintaining or increasing groundwater concentrations. 	







Moab

REMEDY STATUS

Management Unit Level Information

- Contaminant plumes by management unit
 - Plume footprint area, concentration contours, plume status, source status
- Regulatory framework and regulatory status
 - Links to regulatory documents
- Remediation technology applied and implementation status
 - Target contaminants, volume/mass treated







Collective Content Management

- Collaborative and effective workflow
- Site-specific and role-based editing
- Registered users can:
 - Edit content (narrative, metrics, file uploads) in draft mode
 - Review submitted draft content
 - Approve and publish content for public consumption
- Updates require minimal interaction for the TRAC website owner
 - Currently need to manually add GIS data files (updated plume maps)

lite Editing Permissions	
Jser Latrincy Bates ~	
DOE-EM Complex	
Energy Technology Engineering Center	
Hanford	
Idaho National Laboratory	
Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory	
Los Alamos	
Moab UMTRA Project	
Nevada National Security Site	
Oak Ridge Reservation	
Paducah Gaseous Diffusion Plant	
Close	







Editing / Submitting / Review / Approval

- Editor role can make changes in edit/draft mode
- Submitter role submit changes for review
- Reviewer role review and approve/deny changes
- Authorizer role final approval and publishing content







Editing Metrics at the Management Unit Level

- Plume
 - Enter an area value
 - Select appropriate status options
 - ✓ Plume migration and source status option

- Regulatory
 - Select regulatory framework
 - Add documents reflecting regulatory status

- Technology

TRAC Tracking Restoration And Closure v2.0.0	INTENDED USAGE	TRAC Tracking Restoration And Closure v2.0.0	INTENDED USAGE	TRAC Tracking Restoration And Closure v2.0.0 INTENDED USAGE		
DOE-EM Complex > Hanford > 200-ZP-1		DOE-EM Complex > Hanford > 200-ZP-1	8	DOE-EM Complex > Hanford > 200-ZP-1		
Hanford × 200-ZP-1 ×	Edit Plume Information Trichloroethene (TCE)	Hanford × 200-ZP-1 ×	Edit Regulatory Information 200-ZP-1 OU:	Hanford × 200-ZP-1 ×	Edit Technology Information Treatment Plan Implemented Remedy Performing as Designed Remedy Net Performing as Designed	
V Plume Information Data Year: 2021 EDIT	1383.79 ACRE(S)	Kegulatory Information Data Year: 2021 EDIT	200-ZP-1 ×	Technology Information Data Year: 2021 EDIT	Current Technology Implementation:	
+ Trichloroethene (TCE) 1,384 O	Plume Status CONTAINED EXPANDING	 Ø 200-ZP-1 FRAMEWORK ✓ RCRA & CERCLA 	Cleanup Status:	Ø 200-ZP-1 REMEDY STATUS A	In Situ Chemical Treatment In Situ Thermal Treatment	
+ Tritium 18 + Carbon Tetrachloride 4,523 	OFF-SITE IMPACT	LEANUP STATUS LInterim ROD-1995 ROD-2008	Add New Interim ROD - 1995 Ø 200-ZP-1 Interim ROD.pdf	C Treatment Plan Implemented TECHNOLOGY IMPLEMENTATION Monitored Natural Attenuation	In Well Air Stripping Reactive Walls and Barriers Vertical Engineered Barriers	
+ Technetium-99 28 • + Chromium (VI) 272 •	ACTIVE CONTROLLED	Li other:2019 Linterim ROD-2012	ROD - 2008 @ 200-ZP-1 ROD.pdf	Trichloroethene (TCE) Tritium Carbon Tetrachloride Niteste	Monitored Natural Attenuation Pump-and-Treat	
+ Nitrate 2,521 •	NOT PRESENT		Other - 2019 @ 200-ZP-1 OU Sampling and analysis pla	Other: Hydraulic Control	Water Treated 7.847 Bgal	
+ lodine-129 8 •	Tritium >		Interim ROD - 2012 @ 200-ZP-1 Interim ROD 2.pdf CDIT	Pump-and-Treat 🗸 WATER TREATED 6.833 Bgal	Mass Removed Carbon Tetra 🗸 34370 kg Delete	
	CANCEL SAVE ALL		CANCEL SAVE ALL	MASS REMOVED Chromium (VI) 470.6 kg	CANCEL SAVE ALL	



Select implementation status Select technology(ies) and targeted contaminants For P&T enter volume treated and mass removed



Editing Narratives

- Can add text and format it as desired
 - Font formatting
 - Numbered/bullet lists
- Can add hyperlinks
- Can add photos/images





NG CHANGES	хіт	EDITING MODE Edit 👻 😌 chris.johns	on
8		< Overview X	=
		+ Operational History 🧪	
		+ Conceptual Site Model 🧷	
~		+ Contaminants of Concern 🧷	ð
		— Technology Approaches 🧷 🧷	t
		Groundwater contaminants are being remediated under a CERCLA ROD. The selected remedy in the ROD consists of a	Tr
CERCLA ation of	=	combination of pump and treat (P&T), monitored natural attenuation (MNA), flow- path control, and institutional controls.	i
.), flow-		The 200-ZP-1 P&T began operating in 2012 and operated continuously during 2021.	
		As of December 2021, the final remedy P&T, the former interim remedy P&T, and vapor extraction systems have removed 114,476	
erim		kg of carbon tetrachloride from the subsurface. Current remediation also	
diation		treatment was suspended based on the	
tation in 👻		2019. Due to the optimization study suspension of biological treatment	
		portion of chromium is removed via the technetium-99 IX resin. The 200 West	
Save		groundwater treatment facility treats water from other OUs in addition to treatment of	
		200-ZP-1 OU groundwater.	



Pending Changes

- Pending changes screen lists edits compared to the prior version
- For submission of updates and for reviewing those updates

TRAC Tracking Restoration And Closure	v2.0.0 INTENDED USAGE			EXIT EDITING MODE Edit 👻 🕒 chris.johnson
← BACK Pending Changes				× ✓ CONFIRM & SUBMIT SUBMISSION
Changed field	Old Value ==	New Value =	Changed by ==	Timestamp =
DOE-EM Complex > Savannah River Site > D Area > Overview > Operational History	The D Area contains a coal-fired powerhouse and support faci	The D Area contained I a coal-fired powerhouse and support facil	karen odarns	May 9, 2023 6:28
DOE-EM Complex > Savannah River Site > A/M Area > Technology Information > Technology Implementation > Pump-and- Treat > Water Treated		7.5 Bgal	sadka a quine	Jan 31, 2023 11:02
DOE-EM Complex > Savannah River Site > A/M Area > Technology Information > Technology Implementation > Pump-and- Treat > Mass Removed >		259000	sadha a quinn	Jan 31, 2023 11:02
DOE-EM Complex > Savannah River Site > A/M Area > Technology Information > Technology Implementation > In Situ Bioremediation		Trichloroethene (TCE), Tetrachloroethylene (PCE)	sadka.a-quine	Jan 31, 2023 11:02
DOE-EM Complex > Savannah River Site > A/M Area > Regulatory Information > Cleanup Status	Interim Rod-1992	Interim Rod-1992 , Other-2021	PROPER DESIGN	Jan 31, 2023 10:54





Future Work

- Incorporate end state metrics
 - Developed as part of the groundwater closure strategy
- Visualization of metrics over time
- Incorporate interactive, self-service GIS data file upload feature
- Content integration
 - Finish approvals (coming soon)
 - Finish adding Oak Ridge & LANL information
 - Add LLNL, NNSS, SNL, and WIPP as new sites
- Standing Operating Policies and Procedure
 - EM HQ will issue guidance for annual updates
- Version for tanks closure strategy
- Soil & disposal cell metrics







Summary

- TRAC provides summary information for sites across the DOE-EM complex
- Can quickly identify
 - Which sites have a particular contaminant
 - Magnitude of the groundwater plume areas (how extensive is the problem)
 - Plume, regulatory, and remedy technology status
- Consistent set of summary metrics and explanatory information for all sites
- Facilitates communication on multiple levels (sites/headquarters, public)
- Provides information for DOE-EM to help make strategic decisions
 - Where are the issues?
 - Where to allocate resources?
- Part of the overall strategy for groundwater cleanup across the EM complex
 - Technical Targets / Site Interviews / Recommendations for groundwater closure strategy
 - ALTEMIS Advanced Long-Term Environmental Monitoring Systems





Acknowlegements

- Co-Authors
 - Jennifer Fanning, Marcus Perry, Chloe Sow, Eric Engel, and Patrick Royer at the Pacific Northwest National Laboratory
 - Latrincy Bates and Grover Chamberlain (retired) at the Department of Energy Office of Environmental Management
- This work was funded by the DOE-EM Headquarters, who are gratefully acknowledged in this important endeavor to better track the progress of groundwater cleanup.
- Pacific Northwest National Laboratory is operated by Battelle Memorial Institute for the Department of Energy under Contract DE-AC05-76RL01830.







Thank You

https://trac.pnnl.gov https://www.pnnl.gov/projects/trac



Contacts:

Christian Johnson, PNNL cd.johnson@pnnl.gov

Latrincy Bates, DOE-EM latrincy.bates@em.doe.gov





remplex@pnnl.gov https://www.pnnl.gov/projects/remplex





Technology Behind TRAC

- TRAC is a custom, single-page, web-based application
 - Modern, flexible framework
 - Web browser, dynamic content via AWS Lambda / AWS DynamoDB database
 - Database construction handles fusion of different data sets
- Hosted on Amazon Web Services (AWS)
 - Robust infrastructure reliable, scalable, redundant
 - Lower maintenance costs associated with hosting
 - Server-side security updates by AWS
- Leverages AWS Cognito user management for role-based access
 - Curated, EM site-specific access and roles







Amazon Cognito

Amazon Lambda

Amazon DynamoDB







Northwest



EM Complex or EM Site levels show totals: plume acres and number of management units for a category

Data Year

Plume Information

MCL: 8 pCI/L (see Gossary*)

+ Mercury

interdirect in

Hume Migration Status
 Source to Ground Water

Regulatory Information

Itegulatory Cleanup Status

Cleanup Regulations

Technetium-99

Total

BCBR

Total

D Pump-and Titlat

Remedy Status

O in Situ Barrie

· Total

CERCIA REBAR CERCIA Management unit level shows plume acres and regulatory/ technology summary information

		Data Ye
rs:		
	- Trichlargethene (TCE) MCL: 5 µg / L. (see Gossary*)	
ries 🚽	Plume Migration Status: Contained Bearing to Ground Water: Nat Present	
atego	+ Chromium (Vi)	
0	+ Strentium 90	
e ocros	 Regulatory Information 	Data Ye
§ listribution	© 100-FR.3 **ANTHOURS [©] CERCLA CLEANUP STATUS [↓] ROD-2014	
p Mot	Technology Information	Data Ye
Bars sh	 102-HE 3 8EHEOF STATUE Remedy Partnersing as Designed 	
10	TECHNOLOGY IMPLEMENTIATION Monitored Natural Attenuation Strontium-90 Nitrate	
	Pump and Treat M wates treated 7.56 bgai	
	MADS REMOVED Chromium (VI) 2658 kg	

Welcome to TRAC (Tracking Restoration And Closure)

TRAC is focused on communicating cleanup status, technical challenges, and needs for site closure of U.S. Department of Energy Office of Environmental Management (DOE-EM) sites. TRAC facilitates open communication, strategy development, and long-term protection of human health and the environment. TRAC provides video, summary narrative (①), geospatial visualization of groundwater plumes (in the Map), and metrics in the left pane (about plumes, regulatory status, and remediation technology implementation) for the DOE-EM complex, a particular DOE-EM site, or a management unit within a site.

Tips for using TRAC:

2020

- Take a few seconds to familiarize yourself with TRAC functionality via the description here of elements available in the Left, Right, and Map panes.
- Make the Glossary
 gour first stop to understand terminology of TRAC.
- TRAC provides summary information. The focus is not on specific numbers (which may be rounded values or sometimes have more significant digits than needed). Rather, the focus is on the magnitude/quantity, and, ultimately, how these numbers change over time as work progresses. For detailed information, numbers, and analysis, check out the **Related Links 2** and **Supporting Documents 3** for links to the annual report, 5-year report, online databases, or other resources.
- Recognize that status information will change over time; some aspects may be uncertain and will be refined over time as characterization or remedy operations provide more information.
- As more sites are included and DOE-EM prepares strategy for the coming decade, the metrics and categories used to describe status will evolve in TRAC to better track progress towards closure.



23