

Bioremediation – Expanding the Toolbox: Session I – The Microbiome

Understanding Plant-Microbe-Metal Interactions in Metal-Contaminated Soils (with a focus on arsenic)









Center for Environmentally Sustainable Mining



rmaier@ag.arizona.edu https://superfund.arizona.edu/



Phytoremediation reduces movement of contaminants offsite through:

- Root sequestration of arsenic
- Reduction in dust generation



The challenge is to cost-effectively get plants to establish and survive on these types of sites. To do this efficiently, we need to understand plant-microbe-metal interactions.



Reduced As bioavailability

Gil-Loaiza et al., 2018, ES&T 15:5851 Hammond et al., 2018, ES&T 3:1156-1164 Gil-Loaiza et al., 2016, STOTEN 565:451-461 We have used traditional microbiome analysis combined with biogeochemical analyses to examine microbial diversity as a function of treatment and time for phytoremediation of mine tailings that are highly acid and contain high levels of arsenic. We have learned that:

- key microbial populations associated with pH transitions are bioindicators for either sustained future plant growth or for acid generation conditions that inhibit further plant growth
- these key indicators can be used to manage field sites and the need for re-application of compost or an alternate buffering material in regions susceptible to re-acidification to maintain beneficial bacterial communities for long-term plant establishment.

Hottenstein et al., DOI: 10.3389/fmicb.2019.01211 Honeker et al., DOI: 10.3389/fmicb.2019.01209

What I would like to focus on today is a tool that combines microbiome and biogeochemical analysis with plant metatranscriptomics. This work has been done in collaboration with the University of California-San Diego Superfund Research Program through a KC Donnelly exchange.



The data I will show have just been generated and are not yet published but show the potential for this tool to provide insights to the phytoremediation process and the way that both microbes and plants deal with arsenic.

Priyanka Kushwaha and Raina Maier (UA) Qi Yu, Alexandria Tan and Julian Schroeder (UCSD)



Dr. Julian Schroeder

Dr. Priyanka Kushwaha

Experimental set-up:

- Greenhouse study in Iron King tailings (low pH, high arsenic content)
- Treatments: 10, 15, 20% compost amendment and a potting soil control
- Soil samples were taken for amplicon sequencing and biogeochemical analyses
- Plant root and shoot tissues were taken for metatranscriptomic analysis



Quailbush (Atriplex lentiformis)

- native plant
- drought tolerant
- salt tolerant
- successful in field trials

16S Bacterial/Archaeal rDNA Richness and Beta Diversity

Richness

Beta Diversity



Rate of compost amendment (nutrients) positively impacts richness

Differentially expressed quailbush genes in the <u>root</u> samples comparing two treatments: 10 vs. 20% compost amendment



Plant gene-microbe interactions: root sample and bulk soil

| TC10 | Acidipila | Arenimonas | Chloronema | Dyella | Flavisolibacter | Methylovirgula | Pandoraea | Parvibaculum | Pseudomonas | Rhodobacter | Sulfobacillus | Ihiomonas | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 1 |
|-------------------|-----------|------------|------------|--------|-----------------|----------------|-----------|--------------|-------------|-------------|---------------|-----------|-----------|------|------|-----|-----------|------|------|------|-----|-----|------|-----|-----|---|---|------|
| AT3G52870 | *** | *** | *** | *** | ** | ** | ** | *** | ** | *** | ** | *** | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 0.0 |
| CKB4 | *** | *** | *** | ** | *** | *** | ** | *** | *** | *** | *** | ** | | | | | | | ~ | | | | | | | | | |
| IBM1 | *** | *** | *** | *** | *** | ** | ** | *** | ** | *** | ** | ** | | | | ~ | | ter | ula | | Ξ | as | F | s | | | ┝ | 0.6 |
| PPH | ** | ** | ** | ** | *** | *** | *** | ** | *** | ** | *** | ** | | | las | ma | | ac | irg | ø | n ı | ю | cte | III | ЗS | | | |
| SECA2 | ** | ** | ** | ** | *** | *** | *** | ** | *** | ** | *** | ** | | a | 0 | ne | | lib | 0 | 'ae | acı | m | ba | aci | ü | | | 0.4 |
| RAD54 | *** | *** | *** | ** | *** | *** | ** | *** | *** | *** | *** | ** | TCOO | ō | im | 2 | la | isc | Ž | õ | ibi | ğ | go | qo | ŭ | | | 0.4 |
| YUC6 | *** | *** | *** | *** | *** | ** | ** | *** | ** | *** | ** | ** | 1620 | cia | ren | 24 | <u>Ve</u> | av | eth | anc | Š | sel | 204 | ulf | ەنر | | | |
| AT1G04910 | *** | *** | *** | *** | ** | ** | ** | *** | ** | *** | ** | *** | | Ť | ₹ | G | <u>م</u> | Ē | Σ | م | م | ď | R | S | F | | - | 0.2 |
| AT3G48900 | *** | *** | *** | *** | ** | ** | ** | *** | ** | *** | ** | *** | LpxD2 | ** | ** | ** | ** | ** | ** | ** | ** | ** | ** | ** | ** | 1 | | |
| FLK | ** | ** | ** | ** | *** | *** | *** | ** | *** | ** | *** | ** | OXA1 | *** | *** | *** | *** | *** | *** | *** | *** | *** | *** | *** | ** | • | | 0 |
| AI1G19450 | ** | ** | ** | ** | *** | *** | *** | ** | *** | ** | *** | ** | FBW2 | ** | ** | ** | ** | ** | ** | ** | ** | ** | ** | ** | ** | 1 | | |
| ABCI15 | ** | ** | ** | ** | *** | *** | *** | ** | *** | ** | *** | ** | AT1G54610 | ** | ** | ** | ** | ** | ** | ** | ** | ** | ** | ** | ** | 1 | | |
| A15G55840 | ** | ** | ** | ** | *** | *** | *** | ** | *** | ** | *** | *** | PGIP1 | ** | ** | ** | ** | ** | ** | ** | ** | ** | ** | ** | ** | | | -0.2 |
| A12G01540 | ** | ** | ** | ** | *** | **** | *** | ** | *** | ** | *** | ** | CBR | ** | ** | ** | ** | ** | ** | ** | ** | ** | ** | ** | ** | | | |
| | *** | *** | *** | *** | **** | | ** | *** | *** | *** | *** | ** | HEXO2 | *** | *** | *** | *** | *** | *** | *** | *** | *** | *** | *** | ** | | | 0.4 |
| 1PR1 AT4025225 | | ** | | | | | | ** | | | | | TSO1 | **** | **** | *** | **** | **** | **** | *** | *** | *** | **** | | | | | -0.4 |
| A14G35335 | | •••• | •••• | | | | | | ••• | | | | ENP | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | ••• | *** | ••• | ••• | | | *** | ** | | | | MET18 | | •••• | | | | | •••• | | | | | | | | -0.6 |
| FLO2 | ** | ** | ** | ** | ** | *** | *** | ** | *** | ** | *** | *** | NUDT3 | | ** | | | | | ** | | | | | | 1 | | |
| | *** | *** | *** | ** | *** | *** | ** | *** | *** | *** | *** | ** | PHOT1 | ** | ** | ** | ** | ** | ** | ** | ** | ** | ** | ** | | | | 0.0 |
| | *** | *** | *** | ** | *** | *** | ** | *** | *** | *** | *** | ** | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | -0.0 |
| AT3G03305 | ** | ** | ** | ** | *** | *** | *** | ** | *** | ** | *** | ** | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| AT4040000 | | •••• | •••• | | | | | | •••• | | •••• | •• | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | -1 |

A14G10330



Plant gene-microbe interactions: root sample

5

Thiomonas



Take-Home Messages:

- Combining microbiome and plant transcriptome analyses is a new tool that shows great promise to identify key microbes important for plant establishment and survival.
- Importance of investment in long-term studies and developing collaboration.
- The next step is to go beyond identification and actually culture these microbes to allow study of mechanisms of plant-microbe-metal interactions.
- My colleague Paul Carini will take over and discuss high-throughput cultivation for just this purpose.

References:

Young, Ellen, et al. "Microbiome and ecotypic adaption of *Holcus lanatus* (L.) to extremes of its soil pH range, investigated through transcriptome sequencing." *Microbiome* 6.1 (2018): 48.

Yu, Peng, et al. "Root type and soil phosphate determine the taxonomic landscape of colonizing fungi and the transcriptome of field-grown maize roots." *new phytologist* 217.3 (2018): 1240-1253.

Dayama, Gargi, et al. "Interactions between the gut microbiome and host gene regulation in cystic fibrosis." *BioRxiv* (2019): 596312.



High-throughput cultivation to link key **taxa** to specific contaminant biotransformations











paulcarini@email.arizona.edu CariniLab.com







Microbial cultivation: the new-old approach to microbiology High throughput microbial cultivation approaches Screening cultures for microbes or activities of interest



Synthetic microbial communities for cost-effective & efficient remediation



A 'cultural' renaissance



Lagkouvardos, et al., 2016 Bai, et al., 2015

culture collection Up to 65% of the abundant phylotypes

Culturing abundant soil microbes is still a challenge

Cultured lineages



How do we access uncultured biodiversity to identify taxa that play key roles in bioremediation?



High-throughput cultivation approaches

How do we construct culture libraries that can be screened for properties of interest with high-throughput?

Can we grow 'uncultured' lineages using new approaches?











Screening culture libraries



High-throughput classification Illumina 16S rRNA gene sequencing

Nocardioidaceae spp. Nocardioidaceae spp. Streptomycetaceae spp. Conexibacteraceae spp. Micrococcaceae spp. Actinomycetales spp. Pseudomonadaceae spp. Pseudomonadaceae spp. Crenotrichaceae spp. Rhizobiales spp.



Functional gene screens Presence-absence or coupled to sequencing





Colori- or fluorometric assays Screen with plate reader





SynComs to deduce microbial arsenic transformations in gut



Build correlation network from SynComs with significantly and strongly correlated As transformation-sequestration profiles



Identify As biotransformation-sequestration functional guilds & test in vivo or in situ







Microbial cultivation: the new-old approach to microbiology High throughput microbial cultivation approaches

Screening cultures for microbes or activities of interest



Synthetic microbial communities for cost-effective & efficient remediation