Uses of ARAMS[™] for Risk Assessment

September 11, 2007

Mr. Jeff Gerald and Dr. Mark S. Dortch

U.S. Army Engineer Research and Development Center



• What is ARAMS[™]?

 An adaptive risk assessment modeling system developed by the Army that provides computer-based data delivery, dynamic modeling, and analysis for <u>multi-media</u>, <u>multipathway</u>, multi-route exposure and effects of military relevant compounds and other constituents of concern to assess <u>human</u> and <u>ecological</u> health impacts/risks.

ARAMS is a collection of tools, models, and data for use in health risk assessment





What is Overall Purpose?



- Assessment of <u>chronic</u> human and ecological health risks associated with long-term exposure to constituent of potential concern (including hazardous and toxic <u>chemicals</u> and <u>radionuclides</u>)
- Originally developed to support cleanup, but has broader application, e.g., aiding in managing future risks





Uses



- To assess present risks of emissions, loadings, or in-place contamination
- To determine appropriate contamination clean-up levels for acceptable risk
- To provide risk information to aid in evaluating remediation alternatives
- To aid in managing sites for future potential risks



Unique Features



- Linkages to multimedia fate/transport models, thus providing time-varying, future concentrations, exposures, and risks
- Adaptive, object-like framework for assessing a wide array of exposurerisk scenarios



Links to fate/transport models help in Evaluating alternatives and future risks



Adaptive, Object-Like Framework



Object-Like Framework (FRAMES)

- Visually and seamlessly links disparate objects, providing flexibility for describing risk scenarios
- Can add objects and modules (e.g., models, databases)



FRAMES development supported by USACE/ERDC, DOE, EPA, NRC





Uncertainty Analysis



- Can assess uncertainty of inputs to develop probabilistic outputs, e.g., cumulative probability of exceeding various levels of cancer incidence; can also produce confidence (e.g., 95%) bands along timevarying results
- Uses Monte Carlo method with Latin-Hypercube sampling for efficiency
- Can treat multiple parameters (inputs) from multiple modules as uncertain
- Provides options for parameter distributions

Key Inputs

- Site-specific conditions for soil, weather, hydrogeology, site characterization, etc.
- Chemical-specific properties, e.g., K_{ow}, Henry's constant, M_w, degradation rate, BAF, toxicity values (RfD, SF, etc) for human RA, toxicity reference values (TRVs) for eco RA, etc.
- Media concentrations (can be modeled or entered manually, via spreadsheet template (for soil), or with a generic data import tool – currently in development)

Key ARAMS Outputs

- Risk tables in RAGS Part D format
- A Conceptual Site Model (CSM) that serves as the starting point in FRAMES





New slide added per request

A Basic ARAMS Example

Basic ARAMS Example

- A fictitious site called "XYZ Chemical" incurred a spill from one of the tanks in its tank farm
- The constituent was inorganic Arsenic (CASRN 7440-38-2) and 1850 grams spilled onto the soil
- We are concerned about the impact from incidental soil ingestion to workers
- We'll create the RAGS planning tables and the conceptual site model (CSM) for this case...

Note that in most of the examples presented that there are some steps that have been omitted



- We add a primary source
- We then add an exposure medium to the primary source



• We add a receptor to the exposure medium and are then ready to generate the RAGS planning tables and the CSM...



CSM Diagram

• The CSM is on the "CSM Diagram" tab as shown below





 Note that the created FRAMES GID file only contains the constituent database module. Unfortunately, the ARAMS CSM tool does not fully generate the FRAMES CSM, but under the ARAMS Help menu is a tutorial on how to convert the CSM diagram to objects in FRAMES



- Using the ARAMS CSM Diagram, we can construct the FRAMES CSM (see the ARAMS Help menu for instructions on this)
- Based on this information, we therefore will want to place Source, Exposure Pathways, Receptor Intakes, and Health Impacts modules on the FRAMES workspace and we will add a RAGS viewer module to generate a RAGS part D report as well

• The FRAMES CSM now looks like that shown below



• We next make the necessary module connections



- We are now ready to select the models/database that we will use in the analysis (by right-clicking on an object, selecting "General Info", and then selecting from the available database/model listings):
 - Constituent Module "FRAMES Constituent Database Selection"
 - Source module "MEPAS 5.0 Source in Soil Module"
 - Exposure Pathways "MEPAS 5.0 Exposure Pathways Module"
 - Receptor Intakes "MEPAS 5.0 Receptor Intakes Module"
 - Health Impacts "MEPAS 5.0 Health Impacts Module"
 - RAGS "RAGS Table Generator"

```
29
```

Basic ARAMS Example – con'd (additional data)					
Variable Description	Value	Units			
Length	50	m			
Width	50	m			
Depth	5	cm			
Ingestion RfD	0.0003	mg/kg/day			
Ingestion CSF	1.5	(mg/kg/day) ¹			
Decay/degradation	none	n/a			
Soil ingestion rate	0.05	g/day			
Soil leach rate constant	0	/yr			
Worker work frequency	340	days/yr			
Exposure duration	30	yr			
Worker average weight	70	kg			

- We then perform user input on all of the modules and then run all of the modules (we can also use the FRAMES "Go" button to accomplish this)
- We can then view the output...

• The Health Impacts "Summary Views of Risk, Hazard and Dose" viewer is shown and the cancer risk is 4.08E-06 and the HI is 2.1E-02

Dataset	src8:Soil	Time Poir	nt (yr) 0]
Location	(0, 0) km	Cancer o		-	1
	18 to 62	-			
Age Group		_			
Constituent	All Chemicals	 Exposure 	duration: 30 yr		
Show Tota	als Onlui				
	Exposure Route and Pathway		н	Bisk	
	All Chemicals summation for src8:Soil		noncarcinogenic	carcinogenic	
	at location (0, 0) km for ages 18 to 62 at ti	ime O		(all sites)	
TOTAL			2.11E-02	4.08E-06	
TOTAL			2.112.02	4.002.00	
ingestion (to	otal)		2.11E-02	4.08E-06	
Soil			2.11E-02	4.08E-06	

Basic ARAMS Example - concluded

 If we run the RAGS viewer, we get the set of RAGS part D tables shown below using the viewer's RME option





Firing Range Example

Firing Range Example

- In this example, a hypothetical firing range of 500 m x 500 m has a receiving stream located 3 km down gradient from the range
- The range is to be used for 50 years
- We are only concerned about runoff from the site
- We are interested in determining if and when RDX (CASRN 121-82-4) concentrations in the stream exceed the protective public advisory criteria of 2 parts-per-billion (ppb)

35

Firing Range Example – con'd

Variable Description	Value	Units
Range life	50	yr
Average rainfall	63.5	cm
Receiving stream annual flow rate	0.5	m³/sec
Munitions used	81 mm Mortar & 155 mm Howitzer	n/a

Munition	Variable Description	Value	Units
81 mm Mortar	Rounds Fired	3000	/yr
	Low Order	2	%
	Yield*	25	%
155 mm Howitzer	Rounds Fired	3000	/yr
	Low Order	2	%
	Yield*	25	%

* Amount of explosive used up in a low order detonation

³⁶
- Modules, databases and models used:
 - Constituent module– "FRAMES Constituent Database Selector"
 - Source module "Munition Residue Characterization and Fate Model" (available beginning with ARAMS 1.4)
 - Surface Water module "MEPAS 5.0 River Module"
 - Exposure Pathways module "MEPAS 5.0 Exposure Pathways Module"

- We select RDX as the constituent of concern and set any properties of RDX that may be necessary in the constituent database module
- We then supply the model input information required
- Finally, we run the modules...

• Our example CSM then looks like that shown below



- We can then view the surface water module's water concentration file (WCF) output
- From the WCF output we notice that the protective public advisory criteria of 2 ppb will be exceeded after approximately 40 years of use



- What can we do to ensure that we do not exceed the advisory criteria for the range usage period?
- One possibility is to alternate the range use on 10 year cycles
- Let's test this alternative...

Firing Range Example - concluded

- We make the model input adjustments and re-run the models
- From the output of the alternative case, we can see that this does prevent exceeding the advisory criteria



Terrestrial Eco Example

Terrestrial Eco Example

 This is a steady-state analysis where we wish to evaluate the exposure of a Belted Kingfisher (Ceryle alcyon) and Red Fox (Vulpes vulpes) to DDT (CASRN 50-29-3) contaminated water, soil, and sediment (where appropriate)

- The modules, databases and models used:
 - Constituent module "FRAMES Constituent Database Selector"
 - Terrestrial Organism Selector module– "ARAMS Terrestrial Organism Selector"
 - User Defined module "SCF Soil Module"
 - User Defined module "SCF Sediment Module"
 - User Defined module "WCF Surface Water Module"
 - Terrestrial Benchmarks module "TTD -TRVs"
 - Eco Receptor Intake module "Terrestrial Wildlife Exposure Model"
 - Eco Health Effects module "Wildlife Ecological Assessment Program"

- We select DDT as the constituent of concern and set any properties of DDT that may be necessary
- We select the terrestrial organisms
- We select TRVs (or provide userdefined ones) to be used in the analysis (we can use multiple TRVs for a given receptor/constituent)
- Next, we supply the model input information required
- Note: TWEM is the only model that requires the output from the upstream modules be run prior to opening the module for user input

 After running all models, our example CSM then looks like that shown below



- We can then view the EHQ output of the WEAP module
- A couple of the graphs where the EHQ is
 > 1 are shown below



- Some of the EHQs were high, what made them high?
 - We can go check the TRVs that were used (e.g. how conservative were those values?)
 - We could go check the BAFs, Regression, Log(Kow), and life history parameter values used in TWEM
 - If all are reasonable, then perhaps steps need to be taken to mitigate the impacts

						- 🐹
We Detabase Help						
Query Keys						
GID Chemicale				Jurisdictor		-14
Chemical CA	8 Oversical Name	Maset Chemical CAS	Alased Oversical Nam	w Jaradet	ion Select A	
50293	001	50293	DOT	SCHEP		
				CCME Ero SSL		
				MHSPE		
				Nevy 67.		10.00
				107°3		
Submit (2)	any To Local Detailable		Treas	ve user definad data	Na An	
Guery Results	Otencal Name Alas	Junisdiction	Unique Class	Conservation	Derivation	-
CH LAS MIN	100	ORNL	Aves	Very Conservative	LOAEL	
CR CAS BIR	007 007	ORN.	Aves	Moderately Corpery	ative LOAEL	
CE LAS BER	100		Avez. Marvraka	Moderately Conserv Very Conservative	HIN LOAEL NOAEL	
C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C	007 007 007 007	ORM. ORM.	Aves	Moderately Corpery	HIN LOAEL NOAEL	
	007 007 007 007 207	ORNE ORNE ORNE	Avez. Marvraka	Moderately Conserv Very Conservative	HIN LOAEL NOAEL	C (
	007 007 007 007	ORNE ORNE ORNE	Avez. Marvraka	Moderately Conserv Very Conservative	HIN LOAEL NOAEL	C
e	DOT DOT DOT Select Kingfaher General Der Taionom General	ORNE ORNE ORNE	Avez. Marvraka	Moderately Conserv Very Conservative	HIN LOAEL NOAEL	G
e	COT COT DOT COT Cotted Kingfisher General Const Have	ORM ORM ORM Interface	Avez. Marvraka	Moderately Conserv Very Conservative	HIN LOAEL NOAEL	(C (
e	DOT DOT DOT Select Kingfaher General Der Taionom General	ORM ORM ORM Interface	Avez. Marvraka	Moderately Conserv Very Conservative Moderately Conserv	HIN LOAEL NOAEL	G
c	COT COT DOT COT Cotens General Cannal Have	ORM ORM ORM Interface	Area Marrealia Marrealia	Moderately Conserv Very Conservative Moderately Conserv	HIN LOAEL NOAEL	
c	COT COT DOT COT Cotens General Cannal Have	ORM ORM ORM Interface	Area Marrealia Marrealia	Moderately Conserv Very Conservative Moderately Conserv	HIN LOAEL NOAEL	C (
e	COT COT DOT COT Cotens General Cannal Have	ones ones ones el tertorychae while down	Area Marreala Marreala Piquetic De	Moderately Conserv Very Conservative Moderately Conserv	otine (DAE) NO-E atine (DAE)	
e	OCT COT COT COT COT COT COT COT COT COT	ORIAL ORIAL ORIAL Interfactione of deceminations Value Units	Aven Marrralia Marrralia Piquetic Or 7,pp Refe	Moderately Conserv Very Conservative Moderately Conserv	etter (DAR) NCAR NCAR IONE	
e	COT COT COT COT COT COT COT COT COT COT	Office Office Office Office Office Plant State State Offic	Aven Marrralia Marrralia Piquetic Or 7,ppe Rela	Moderating Concern Very Concern Moderating Concern	otine (DAE) NO-E atine (DAE)	
e	OCT DOT DOT DOT DOT DOT Connection General Name Types Life History Presenter Food Superior, Rat	сяна, сяна, сяна, сяна, из барта, из барта, из барта, осла, сана,	Ann Marraia Marraia Iterria Iterria Iterria Iterria Iterria Iterria Iterria Iterria	Moderately Conservative Very Conservative Moderately Conserva- Moderately Conserva- parentel parentel te 1526 entend	etine LOAR_ NO-E_ stive LOAR_	
e	OCT DOT DOT DOT Correction General Def Tecorory General Def Tecorory General Name Type T Life History Paramiter Food toposition R a	CR94, CR94,	Anne Marronalia Marronalia Peganter Or Tappo Rate Tapio Rate Tan Distrat Orive Uno evenos Orive Uno evenos Orive	Modewaly Conserve Very Conserve Modewaly Conserv Modewaly Conserv Modewaly Conserve Modewaly Conserve generated served served served lines, 1982	etine LOARS NO-ES ative LOARS Connects Market Connects Assumed Calors appoint / C	Converted 1
e	001 001 001 001 Ceneral CongRuber General Name Type Cle Hotop Parame Food Oppinon Re Sed Propolo of Fo Vide Ingento Re Sed Propolo of Fo	00%. 00%. 0%%. 0%%. 0%%. 0%%. 0%%. 0%%.	Anne Mannenia Mannenia Plagate Dr Plagate Dr Tapo Rete Une extend Stre Une extend Stre Tan Dottal Stre Tan Dottal Stre	Modewardy Concern Very Concernstree Modewardy Concern Modewardy Concern Modewardy Concern Modewardy Concern Modeward Concernstree Res 1930 Res 1930	Element (LOAE) NOAE, alment (LOAE) Element (
e	OT SOT SOT SOT SOT SOT SOT SOT SOT SOT S	00%. 00%. 0%%. 0%%. 0%%. 0%%. 0%%. 0%%.	Anne Mannenia Mannenia Plagate Dr Plagate Dr Tapo Rete Une extend Stre Une extend Stre Tan Dottal Stre Tan Dottal Stre	Modewardy Concern Very Concernstree Modewardy Concern Modewardy Concern Modewardy Concern Modewardy Concern Modeward Concernstree Res 1930 Res 1930	etine LOARS NO-ES ative LOARS Connects Market Connects Assumed Calors appoint / C	
e	OT DOT Corr Corr Corr Correct Instructure General Corr General Name Tree Tree Corr Name Corr Sector Sector Corr Corr Corr Corr Corr Corr Corr C	00%. 00%. 00%. 0%. 0%. 0%. 0%. 0%	Anne Mannenia Mannenia Plagate Dr Plagate Dr Tapo Rete Une extend Stre Une extend Stre Tan Dottal Stre Tan Dottal Stre	Modewardy Concern Very Concernstree Modewardy Concern Modewardy Concern Modewardy Concern Modewardy Concern Modeward Concernstree Res 1930 Res 1930	Element (LOAE) NOAE, alment (LOAE) Element (

Example with Uncertainty

Example with Uncertainty

- This example demonstrates the power and flexibility of the FRAMES S/U module
- A more detailed description is contained in a journal article that has been accepted for publication in the *J. Contam. Soil & Sed.,* entitled "Modeling Fate of RDX at Demolition Area 2 of the Massachusetts Military Reservation" (M.S. Dortch, S. Fant, and J.A. Gerald)



MMR Demo Area 2 Background

- Used for demolition training from late 70's to late 80's
- Used mostly C4 (RDX plus binders and plasticizers)
- Measured soil and groundwater concentrations roughly 25 years later
- Asked by AEC to model site as proof of concept
- Challenge: to predict soil and groundwater concentrations not knowing the residue loading 25 years prior

Example	e with Uncertainty – con'd	
 The surfairs shown 	ace/subsurface profile of the site below	
	Soil layer (0.25 m) Vadose zone #1 (0.8 m)	
	Vadose zone #2 (40 m)	
	▽	
	Aquifer (91 m)	
	Note: not to scale	
	54	

Example with Uncertainty – con'd

• The FRAMES representation used for the site is shown below



Example with Uncertainty - con'd

• The following table shows the variables that were treated as uncertain for this case and their prescribed distributions...

Variable	Units	Distribution	Lower Bound	Mean (baseline)	Upper Bound	Standard Deviation
Source Zone						
Length	m	Normal	80	110	140	10
Width	m	Normal	80	110	140	10
Mass load rate	g/yr	Log Normal	500	1000	5000	750
β dissol. coef.	mg/ cm²/sec	Uniform	7E 10	7E 09	7E-08	NA
Kd	ml/g	Normal	0.22	0.11	0.055	0.028
Infiltration rate	cm/yr	Normal	60	76.2	85	2.5
Lower vadose zone						
Kd	ml/g	Normal	0.007	0.013	0.026	0.003
Sat. Hydraulic Conductivity	cm/day	Normal	450	570	650	33
Half life	years	Normal	10	100	200	32
Aquifer						
Darcy velocity	cm/day	Normal	50	100	150	17
Kd	mi/g	Normal	0.007	0.013	0.026	0.003
Half life	years	Normal	10	100	200	32
Longitudinal dispersivity	cm	Log Normal	21	210	2100	347
Transverse dispersivity	cm	Log Normal	2.1	21	210	35
Vertical dispersivity	cm	Normal	0.01	0.381	1.0	0.165

Example with Uncertainty – con'd

- In the S/U module we set the number of realizations to 500 and monitored the output of the source zone soil concentration and the groundwater concentration at a monitoring well identified as MW262
- Convergence occurred by 400 iterations

Example with Uncertainty – con'd

 The figure below shows the source zone soil concentration (computed and observed) with upper and lower 95% confidence interval



Example with Uncertainty - concluded

• The figure below shows the groundwater concentration (computed and observed) at monitoring well MW262 with upper and lower 95% confidence interval



Advanced Example

- In this example the versatility of ARAMS for analyzing multiple fate/transport within a watershed is demonstrated
 - "Site 1" and "Site 2" are two areas of soil contaminated with PCB. Storm water runoff from both sites feed into another downstream site, "Secondary Source"
 - A leachate collection system exists at site #1, which serves as a sink
 - Volatilization occurs at site #1
 - Runoff and wind suspended particles from site #1 deposit onto the "Secondary Source" site
 - A survey of the area indicated a sediment trap was used in former operations at the site and this also has runoff to the "Secondary Source" site
 - Runoff from the "Secondary Source" site flows into a stream where, at a point downstream, the water is extracted and used for drinking, showering, and watering of a vegetable garden by a local resident

• This scenario is depicted as shown below



- We use the following modules, databases and models:
 - Constituent module "FRAMES Constituent Database Selection"
 - Source module "MEPAS 5.0 Source in Soil"
 - User Defined module "WFF Surface Water Module"
 - Air module- "MEPAS 5.0 Air Module"
 - Plus Operators module "WFF Surface Water Plus Operator"
 - Overland Flow module "Copy of MEPAS 5.0 Secondary Source in Soil"
 - Surface Water module "MEPAS 5.0 Surface Water Module"
 - Exposure Pathways module "MEPAS 5.0 Exposure Pathways Module"
 - Receptor Intakes module "MEPAS 5.0 Receptor Intakes Module"
 - Health Impacts module "MEPAS 5.0 Health Impacts Module"

- We select PCB (General Classification) as the constituent of concern and set any properties of it that may be necessary
- We provide the required inputs for the modules (fairly numerous for this case)

Note: The WFF Plus Operator requires no input and creates a single WFF connection to the downstream module for the case where the model used there only accepts a single WFF input connection as indicated in the model's input connection description ("General Info").

 Example of the "MEPAS 5.0 Source in Soil" model's user-interface showing some of its inputs

Input		
Kd's Constituent Prop	perties Known Media Rele	eases Known Constituen
Waste Zone Overland	Suspension	Hydrology
Description rerburden STCLEAN	Value 0 cm	Unit Ref. - 0
Charlott - STOLLAN	3 m	• 0
	100 m	• 0
	100 m	▼ 0
JLKD		:m^3 🔽 0
TPOR TMOISTC		ction • 0 ction • 0
t STAIRSPC		ction V 0
(= STAINSPC	0.25 1100	
#e STAVTEMP	25 C	- 0
of local wind measure STWINDHT	10 m	• 0
ced STAVWINDV	5 m/s	iec • 0
sample8 c:\acampg\dames\(Imp) 1.1 src2		

• Figure showing where the module input connection information can be found (under Module Description of the model, which is available by right clicking on a module and selecting "General Info" from the popup menu)

	Object General Information		
	Easting coordinate 0 km Nothing coordinate 0 km Elevation 0 km User Label Site_1	Class Fate & Transport Group Source Object Id src2 Previous Model MCPAS 5:0 Source in Soil	Valid
Connect-Disconnect	Select from Applicable Models [HELP 2 07 (source axeh) HELP 2 02 (source axeh) MEPAS 50 Source in on Andre MEPAS 50 Source in Standing Surface Water REDUVERY 4.3 Source Module	Model Description Practional Laboratory, Nich Land, Wi- Other related sites: Nicol / Hossie Children / Hossie Children Unito Children Children Unito Children Children Unito Children Unit	Connections
User Input Run Model Rename Delete	Non-applicable Models #EPAS 5.0 Secondary Source in Standing Surface* #EPAS 5.0 Secondary Source in Standing Surface*	SVSTEM REQUIREMENTS Operating System: WIN 95 / NT Processor: Pentum RMH Memory: Minimum 16MB Disk Space: Minimum 4MB free disk space	
View/Print User Input View/Print Module Output		Point of contact provide a second se	
		67	

• In FRAMES, the CSM then looks like that shown below after running the models



• The figure below shows the human health impact viewers available to the user

Heceptor_Make In	
HIF Graphical View	Connect-Disconnect
HIF Probability of Exceedence	General Info
HIF Text View	User Input
Impacts by Exposure Pathway, Route and Age Group	Run Model
Impacts by Exposure Pathway and Route Impacts by Target Organ and Age Group Maximum Impacts by Target Organ and Age Group	Rename Delete
Summary Views of Risk, Hazard and Dose	View/Print User Input
MSG Text View	View/Print Module Output →

• Below is the plot of the "HIF Graphical View" health impacts viewer showing the time varying carcinogenic risk for all pathways and all routes



- The "Summary Views or Risk, Hazard, and Dose" viewer is shown below
- Note that you can easily determine which route/pathway contributes the most risk

Dataset Location Age Group Constituent Show Tota				-		can select period her
	Exposure Route and Pathway	н	Risk	-		
	eneral Classification) summation for riv7.Surface water	noncarcinogenic	carcinogenic			
	at location (0, 0) km for ages 0 to 70 at time 60		(all sites)			
TOTAL		0.0E+00	6.542E-07			
ingestion (to	io[]	0.0E+00	1.263E-07			
Lealy ve	getables	0.0E+00	5.83E-08			
Shower		0.0E+00	3.39E-10			
Water		0.0E+00	6.77E-08			
inhalation (b	stali	0.0E+00	4.44E-07			
Shower	· ·	0.0E+00	4.44E-07			
demai (totai	1	0.0E+00	8.396-08	-		

Summary

- ARAMS has multiple uses in risk assessment:
 - Serves as a central framework for conducting a RA
 - Provides tools to help perform a RA quicker and more efficiently (e.g. RAGS planning tables, CSM diagram, RAGS table generator)
 - Provides extensive reporting capabilities
 - Allows tracking and reporting of references
 - Model/Database "Plug and Play" capability (modular/adaptable)
 - Allows the user to use known data or perform modeling and consequently allows for time-varying risk evaluations, i.e. risk management
 - Performs uncertainty in a RA

Status

- ARAMS 1.4 will include the additional models/modules:
 - SEEM (Spatially Explicit Exposure Model)
 - MRCFM (Munitions Residue Characterization and Fate Model)
 - GENII* V2 & GENII V2 NESHAPS^{*} suite of models
 - Sensitivity added to S/U module
 - A joint frequency data (JFD) utility
- ARAMS 1.4 planned for release this Fall

* Generation II

¥ National Emission Standard for Hazardous Air Pollutants

Thanks

- To all of the participants
- And to the following:
 - U.S. EPA
 - U.S. Army Engineer Research and
 - Development Center
 - Interstate Technology and Regulatory Council (ITRC)





Upcoming Events

• ITRC ARAMS workshop – fall 2008



Contact Info

- Mr. Jeff Gerald (601)-634-3590
 Jeff.Gerald@erdc.usace.army.mil
- Dr. Mark S. Dortch (601)-634-3517
 Mark.S.Dortch@erdc.usace.army.mil



<section-header><text><text><text><text>