



Treatment Technologies for Site Cleanup: Annual Status Report (12th Edition)

**Internet Seminar
<http://clu.in.org>
October 11, 2007**

**Carlos Pachon
pachon.carlos@epa.gov**





OSRTI & The Superfund Technology Innovation Program

- Interpret and supply information on technologies and markets
- Partner with agencies, states and private companies to develop and evaluate technologies
- Increase acceptance of innovative options by regulators, consulting engineers, and purchasers of technologies and services
- Develop policy tools that help foster acceptance of innovative technologies
- Disseminate information - conferences, direct mail, electronic mail, home pages and bulletin boards

2



Objectives of the Report


- Goal is to document the selection and implementation of remedies in Superfund
- Data serves as a “benchmark” of the state of the practice, it informs program management and supports remedy evaluations
- Reports on “as built” remedies, including their implementation status, and remedy-specific technical data (e.g. contaminant, techniques, treatment components)
- Highlights innovative remediation technology applications

3



ASR Background

- Current edition (12th) has ROD data through 2005 and project status information through November 2006
- First edition was produced in 1991, last edition was released in February 2004
- Information extracted from RODs, CERCLIS, 5yr reviews, site specific documents/websites
- Covers 1,536 NPL sites, 2,976 RODs, and 1,915 treatment projects




Background on Identifying Remedies

Report Focus:

- Sites, RODs, and projects
- Source and groundwater control (SC & GW)
- Treatment and containment
- Innovative technologies
- Remedial actions only (no removals)

Example of a site



ROD #1

- SVE Soil (completed)
- P&T GW (operational)
- Inst. Control GW

ROD #2

- Landfill cap
- MNA (Design)

No ROD

Site boundary


5



RODs per Site on the NPL

Number of Sites	Number of RODs and Amendments Per Site
697	1
360	2
111	3
46	4
33	5
12	6
7	7
5	8
8	9
25	10-18
4	24-29
1	68

6



Overview of Remedies at Superfund Sites*

SC Remedy Type	11 th Ed # Sites	12 th Ed # Sites	GW Remedy Type	11 th Ed # Sites	12 th Ed # Sites
Treatment of a Source	541	605	Groundwater Pump and Treat	713	728
Containment or Off-Site Disposal of a Source	576	632	In Situ Treatment of Groundwater	135	229
Other Source Control	650	682	Groundwater MNA	201	239
			Other Groundwater	822	854


*Differences in numbers from one edition to another represent net changes


7




Summary of Overall Findings

- NPL Sites (1,536):
 - » 62% have some form of treatment.
 - » 28% of sites have both source and groundwater treatment
 - » 27% have containment as the most "aggressive" remedy
 - » 11% have no remedy decision yet
 - » 1,055 sites have a SC remedy, 1,072 have a GW remedy
- RODs (2,976 RODs & ROD Amendments):
 - » In '02-'05 we signed about 100 RODs/year
 - » In '05, 55% had some form of treatment
 - » Percentage of "multimedia" RODs is holding at about 32%
- Remedies (ASR focus is on treatment remedies)
 - » There are 1,915 treatment projects at NPL sites (status varies)
 - » Of these, 977 are SC treatment and 938 GW treatment










Summary of Source Control Findings

- 1,055 sites (69%) have SC remedies, 1,994 RODs include a source control remedy, 1,105 have treatment
- Selection of treatment and containment in RODs tracks closely in 45% range
- Continued increase in selection of in situ treatment, 75% of SC treatment RODs in 2005
- Most common *in situ* remedies are SVE, multiphase extraction, and bioremediation
- Major *ex situ* remedies are solidification/stabilization, thermal desorption, and bioremediation
- There is continued evidence of the “maturing” status of treatment projects, both *in situ* and *ex situ*.

12

Implication of the first bullet is that ICs generally are “supplemental” to a containment or treatment remedy.








Source Control Treatment Projects 1982-2005 - Total of 977 projects

Ex Situ Technologies (515) 53%

In Situ Technologies (462) 47%








Technology	Total number of projects ^a	Polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs)	Other nonhalogenated semivolatile organic compounds ^b	Benzene-toluene-ethylbenzene-xylene (BTEX)	Other nonhalogenated organic compounds ^b	Organic pesticides and herbicides	Other halogenated volatile organic compounds ^c	Halogenated semivolatile organic compounds ^d	Polychlorinated biphenyls	Metals and metalloids
Bioremediation	113	37	51	33	33	24	17	22	2	5
Chemical Treatment	29	1	2	3	4	1	4	12	4	13
Multi-Phase Extraction	46	9	3	11	6	4	8	18	1	1
Electrical Separation	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0
Flushing	17	3	5	5	5	1	3	11	0	5
Incineration	147	27	41	33	23	36	34	52	36	6
Mechanical Soil Aeration	7	0	0	3	1	0	1	7	0	0
Neutralization	15	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	6
Open Burn/ Open Detonation	4	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Physical Separation	21	4	2	1	0	3	0	0	4	5
Phytoremediation	7	1	2	2	2	1	1	4	0	4
Soil Vapor Extraction	255	15	31	107	51	3	33	217	1	0
Soil Washing	6	1	1	0	0	2	0	0	1	2
Solidification/ Stabilization	217	17	18	13	13	16	7	20	35	180
Solvent Extraction	4	2	1	0	1	1	0	2	2	1
Thermal Desorption	71	21	17	24	15	8	12	33	16	0
In Situ Thermal Treatment	14	5	0	2	0	3	3	8	0	0
Vitrification	3	0	0	1	1	0	1	3	2	1
Total Projects	977	145	175	238	155	103	124	410	104	229

17






Use of Innovative Technologies: 82-91

Figure 1
Summary of Innovative vs. Established Treatment Technologies For Source Control at Superfund Sites*

January 1991



* Data are derived from 1982 - 1989 Records of Decision (RODs) and anticipated design and construction activities.
 The 254 technologies are associated with approximately 211 sites; the difference reflects the use of more than one technology per site.
 () Number of times this technology was selected or used.




Use of Innovative Technologies: 82-05

Established Technologies
(729) 75%

Innovative Technologies
(237) 25%

Other Established Technologies
(25)
Neutralization - 14
Mechanical Soil Aeration - 7
Open Ponds/Open Detention - 4

Other Innovative Technologies
(21)
Phytoremediation - 7
Soil Washing - 6
Solvent Extraction - 4
Vitrification - 3
Electrical Separation - 1







Summary of Groundwater Control Findings

- 1,072 sites (70%) have a GW remedy
- 1,509 RODs have a GW remedy, 958 include P&T, and 195 *in situ* treatment
- However, a new pattern in GW remedy selection that emerged after 1997 is holding, mainly;
 - » In situ treatment continues to climb
 - » GW Remedy mix in RODs has stabilized with P&T, *in situ* and MNA all in the 30-40% range, and...
 - » ICs and "other" remedies are selected in over 90% of GW RODs
- Bioremediation and chemical treatment have eclipsed air sparging as top *in situ* remedies
- 45% of sites with P&T as the sole GW treatment remedy also have a source control remedy.

21


Implication of the first bullet is that ICs generally are "supplemental" to a containment or treatment remedy.









In Situ Groundwater Remedies



*RODs and Amendments are included in this figure. As of October 2006, 74% of FY 2005 RODs and Amendments were available.





26


- (a) Does not include polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons.
- (b) Does not include benzene, toluene, ethylbenzene, and xylene.
- (c) Does not include organic pesticides and herbicides.



Status of In-site GW Treatment Projects

ASR Category	Predesign/ Design	Design Complete/ Being Installed	Operational	Completed	Total
Air Sparging	7	5	38	19	69
Bioremediation	29	4	27	9	69
Chemical Treatment	19	2	9	8	38
Permeable Reactive Barrier	7	1	14	2	24
Multi-Phase Extraction	6	1	12	5	24
Phytoremediation	3	1	10	0	14
In-Well Air Stripping	1	1	6	0	8
In Situ Thermal Treatment	1	1	2	1	5
Flushing	0	1	0	0	1
<i>Total</i>	73	17	118	44	252
<i>Percentage of In Situ Groundwater Technologies</i>	29%	7%	47%	18%	--

27





Concluding Points

- Solid use of treatment remedies at Superfund sites
- Across the program we are making full use of the remedy tool box.
- The program continues to mature;
 - » Fewer sites with no remedies
 - » The implementation status of selected remedies, more are completed and operational, fewer in design.
 - » Innovative technologies are gaining ground and becoming mainstream
- The prevalence of *in situ* treatment remedies suggests we focus on innovations in *in situ* performance monitoring
- 75% of P&T projects are operational – hold the course on RSE, capture zone analysis, etc.



Thank You

After viewing the links to additional resources, please complete our online feedback form.



Thank You

[Links to Additional Resources](#)

[Feedback Form](#)