









- 1) Activated Carbon VOC desorption
- 2) NOx & SOx- Chemical Reaction with Carbon
- 3) Decompose (because of heat) heavy molecules, when contacted with carbon and microwaves. Waste rocket fuels
- 4) Carbon mixed with catalyst causes low temp oxidation, chemical and biological warfare agents (or medical waste).













How many times can you regenerate carbon, without losing adsorption capability. After about 7 cycles with steam, adsorption capacity decreased enough to replace carbon. Not the case with microwaves, still good after 20 cycles.



- Does not require a long startup period
- Does not produce any air emission or wastewater
- Requires much smaller space than conventional technologies
- Can be easily installed on a trailer or skid
- Recovers PERC and other solvents and fuels for recycle
- Eliminate greenhouse gas production
- Cost-effective means to replace currently operating catalytic oxidizers









- Operate the prototype microwave unit at McClellan IC 34/35/37 FTO site for two months to regenerate carbon on-site and recover solvents, fuels and other chemicals contained in the soil vapors
- Demonstrate that microwave technology can be a cost-effective solution for the treatment of soil vapors

















Field Demonstration Results

Microwave Regeneration Tests

- Hydrocarbon liquid recovered from each regeneration
- Repeated regeneration
- Regeneration without sweep gas recycle









	Datah A	Potch P
GAC Added	<u>balch A</u>	<u>Datch D</u>
9/24/2003	200 lb	
9/27/2003		200 lk
10/16/2003		24.92 lk
11/20/2003	15.25 lb	
Total	215.25 lb	224.92
GAC Removed	Batch A	Batch B
Fines	7.83	6.72
End of Testing	208.47	216.24
Total	216.22	222.96
	0.45%	0.970/







GAC Size Analysis

Sieve Analysis				
	Fresh GAC	Batch A	Batch B	
+20 mesh	0.1%	3.9%	3.6%	
+10 mesh	99.6%	95.9%	96.3%	
+3 mesh	0.4%	0.1%	0.1%	






Experimental Work Required to Build a 50-kg/hr Mobile Unit

- Conduct a series of experiments to develop the design for microwave reactor configuration capable of regenerating 50kg/hr activated carbon
- Design and construct supporting systems on the trailer















Scale Up Testing Results				
Carbon Rate	Power (kW)	Sweep Gas (SCFH)	% Recovery	
(lbgģir)	3	90	98	
35	3	60	96	
35	3.5	90	99	
40	3.5	85	97	
40	3.5	70	98	
40	4.5	80	98	
40	4	80	101	
45	4	70	98	
45	4.5	85	102	
50	4.5	55	109	
50	5	80	101	
50	5	35	108	
50	5	80	102	
	Carbon Rate (Ibg@r) 35 35 40 40 40 40 40 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50	Carbon Rate Power (kW) (Ibg\$u) 3 35 3 35 3.5 40 3.5 40 3.5 40 3.5 40 3.5 40 4.5 40 4.5 40 4.5 50 4.5 50 5 50 5 50 5 50 5	Carbon RatePower (kW)Sweep Gas (SCFH)(Ibg\$u)39035360353.590403.585403.570404.580404.580404.580404.5805058550585505805058050580505805058050580	

"Note" %Recovery = (Fresh GAC wt./Reg. GAC wt.)x100

<u>4</u>



Item	Quantity Savings	Cost savings, \$
Natural Gas	188,431 therm	131,902
Electricity	418,522 kwh	37,667
Wastewater	4.756 M gallons	10,608
Utility water	5.67 M gallons	3,061
GAC changeouts		120,000
Labor and supplies		100,740
Total Annual Savings		403,978

- Build a Shelter to protect microwave equipment from rain
- · Modify previous microwave unit to allow
 - Carbon transportation from/to the portable adsorber
 - Higher recycle gas flow rate
 - Isolate the system from the adsorption unit
 - Install the automatic shutdown system in the microwave generator

48

University of Arizona, Prof. Eric A. Betterton

Fresh GAC, kg	37.2	37.7
GAC after saturation, kg	49.5	44.1
GAC after regeneration, kg	38.4	37.0
Liquid recovered, kg	5.65	4.96

Recovered PERC After Water Extraction (PERC is Bottom Layer)

55

	.					
SV	<u>e i 2v</u>	200	SU	mn	າສຕ	M
						J

Zero-valent metals	Complete, e.g., <i>Env. Sci. Technol.</i> , <i>34</i> , 804-811 (2000)
Electrolytic reduction (conventional cell)	Complete, e.g., <i>Ind. Eng. Chem. Res.</i> , 43 (25), 7965 -7974 (2004); <i>Ind. Eng. Chem.</i> <i>Res.</i> 43, 913-923 (2004)
Electrolytic oxidation (conventional cell)	Complete, e.g., <i>J. Appl. Electrochem.</i> , 961–970, 29 (1999)
Continuous-flow electrolytic reactor (1-dimensional)	Complete, manuscript prepared
Continuous-flow electrolytic reactor (2-dimensional)	In progress - manuscript in preparation
Modified fuel cell reactor	In progress, e.g., <i>Env. Sci. Technol.,</i> 35, 4320-4326 (2001)
Photo-initiated dehalogenation in 2-solvent system	Complete, e.g., <i>Env. Sci. Technol., 34,</i> 1229-1233 (2000); <i>Water Res.</i> 38, 2791- 2 (2004); <i>Environ. Sci. Technol.,</i> 39, 2262-2266 (2005)
Membrane air stripping reactor	Complete, e.g., <i>J. Env. Eng.</i> 130, 1232- 1241 (2004)
Redox catalysis	In progress

58

Redox Catalytic Destruction: Oxidative and Reductive Dehalogenation

- 2PCE + $3C_3H_8 \xrightarrow{\text{satisfyst}} 2C_2H_6$ + 2HCI + 9C
- $C_2H_6 + 3/2O_2 \xrightarrow{\text{catalyst}} 2CO_2 + 3H_2O$

•
$$2H_2 + O_2 \longrightarrow 2H_2O$$

• $2\text{HCI} + \frac{1}{2}\text{O}_2 \xrightarrow{\text{catalyst}} \text{H}_2\text{O} + \text{Cl}_2$









This slide showed an experiment conducted under room temperature, atmospheric pressure, inlet flow rate 18 ml/min, TCE concentration of 2500ppmv. The left graph showed TCE and reaction product concentration in the effluent. TCE concentration was reduced monotonically with respect to cell potential. Ethane as the product of TCE degradation increased to a maxima, and then decreased with respect to cell potential. This was caused by increase of flow rate due to hydrogen evolution in the cathode. No Chlorinated intermediates were detected.

The right graph showed the molar flow rate of TCE and ethane and the sum of two in the effluent. The solid red line represented the inlet TCE molar flow rate. We can see that a good mass balance was obtained here, and thus proved that ethane was the major product of TCE degradation. The variation of effluent data around inlet data probably due to experimental error.



Here in this graph the current efficiency was plotted with respect to cell potential, and TCE conversion was also plotted. Current efficiency here is defined as the ratio of the current used for TCE reduction to total current. As you can see, the current efficiency is relatively low due to large amount of hydrogen generated.





















