





p. 1-2



For the purpose of advancing green remediation best practices across cleanup programs, OSWER seeks to:

- Benchmark and document GR best management practices
- > Assemble a toolkit of enablers
- > Build networks of practitioners
- > Develop performance metrics and tracking mechanisms

4

The Challenge: Construction of Superfund	Carbon & Energ	y Footprints	
Technology	Estimated Energy Annual Average (kWh*103)	Total Estimated Energy Use in 2008-2030 (kWh*10 ³)	
Pump & Treat	489,607	11,260,969	
Thermal Desorption	92,919	2,137,126	
Multi-Phase Extraction	18,679	429,625	
Air Sparging	10,156	233,599	
Soil Vapor Extraction	6,734	154,890	
Technology Total	618,095	14,216,209	
	Annual Carbon Footprint (MT CO ₂)		
Sum of 5 Technologies	404,411		
			5



Identify alternatives to achieve energy savings at study site

Establish energy conservation and recovery approaches that can be applied at many sites



















































8















% Reductions for Carbon Footprint and Cost				
	% Reduction			
Option	Carbon Footprint	Annual O&M Cost		
GAC-only	16%	4%		
Air Stripping	16%	6%		
СНР	27%	5%		
GAC-only (50% reduction)	29%	9%		
CHP & Heat pump	35%	7%		











EPA Resources on Green Remediation									
GR GR	een emediation	× X	India -	Were and					
Green Vernesionion. Incorporating Sustainable Environmental Practices into Remediation of Contaminated Sites	Sita Nana	State	Energy Elliciency	Renewable Energy	Air Emission 00	Water Bear	Lond & Ecosystem	Materials & Waste	5 few ardship
	Albus Air Force Dase	QК		*	Э	4		0	
	Apache Powder	AZ		*	3	4			
	Barksdale AF Base	LA				4	4	0	
	0P Casper	WY					4	0	•
X	BP Paulsboro	N		*	3				•
	California Gulch	c0			9			0	1
XI	Crozel Orohard	VA		*	3	6			
×	De Sale Restoration Area	PA		*	3	å	ŧ.	0	•
	Former Caravvell Air Force Dase	TX.						0	
	Former Ferdula Landill	NY		*	3				_
	Former Nebraska Ordnance Plant	NE		*	3				
T	Former St. Croix Alumina Plant	VI		*	3			õ	
1 a la serie and a seciel	Fort Carson	co		*	3	6		0	⊕

