Environmental & Munitions Center of Expertise (EMCX)

CEHNC-EMM

US Army Engineering and Support Center, Huntsville

Dave Becker

M2S2 Explosives Safety Webinar

21 August 2014

The Quality Control and Approval Process for Safety Submissions



US Army Corps of Engineers BUILDING STRONG_®







The Quality Control and Approval Process for Required Explosive Safety Submissions (RESS)

- References DoD 6055.09M and EM 385-1-97.
- An (ESP) or, when appropriate, CSP is required for MRS investigations or characterizations.
- An ESS or, when appropriate, CSS is used for the actual Munition Response (MR).
- NOTE: Most important step prior to submitting to CX is PDT/OESS review. This will save you time and money.



- Does Title Match what is listed in the document (MRS #1 #2 etc cover needs to be specific)
- Is it the right document for the activity being conducted?
- Is the document formatted IAW EM 385-1-97 (ESS/ESP/TCRA) Complex documents may add sections if needed.



- Does verbiage make sense and IAW all guidance documents? BIP/Consolidated shots? Which are you doing?
- Has the correct MGFD been identified?
- Are ESQD arcs correct per:
 - Fragmentation Data Review Forms
 - Generic Equation Calculator (GEQ)
 - Buried Explosion Module (BEM)
 - Appropriate EDs (MOFB/OFB)



- Are the correct distances properly identified on the figures?(K40 or HFD/K328 or MFD/Intentional Detonation with Engineering Controls)
- All legends and scales must be in feet.
- Are all the arcs necessary on the figures? (MFD/HFD/Sandbag Mitigation)



- Site Specific Info:
 - Mechanized MEC being used? High or Low input?
 - Consolidated Shots?
 - Movement of MEC?
 - Storage of Explosives (donor/DMM/MD)
 - Processing of MPPEH per DODI 4140.62 or DDESB approved methods.



Excessive Information

- Use information that applies to Explosive Safety. For example, the terrain and vegetation section is how terrain and vegetation may affect the response, not actual soil conditions and types of grass.
- Reference publication on procedures, don't outline every step in the procedure, i.e., The lightning protection system will be in accordance with DoD 6055.09M, DA PAM 385-64, NFPA 780, and EM 1110-1-4009



Prior to submitting for Approval What to Look For! Cut and Paste

 Insure the document that was plagiarized is applicable to your specific operations.



Incorrect K Factor Calculations

- USACE is <u>no</u> longer using the 1.2 Safety factor in all mathematical equations for QD calculations.
- TNT equivalent IAW TP 16 for donor charges and ordnance.



The Use of Military EOD for Disposal

 If EOD is used for disposal operations on a known FUDS/MRS it is not an emergency response and their operations must be sited IAW DoD 6055.09M



No MGFD or Incorrect MSD

- If the MRS is suspected to contain small arms ammo only, or no MPPEH is expected. Do not include in the ESS/ESP.
- One size of ordnance may have several MSDs 81mm K40 MFD HFD M362A1 54' 247' 1342' M374 54' 239' 1270' 43' 209' M43 1579' **66**' 242' 1199' M45



Misuse of the Acronym BIP.

- Blow in Place is not a generic term for all disposal operations.
- Do not state ALL MEC will be BIP then reference consolidated shots and collection points.



- Only final documents will be submitted to the EMCX for DRU review/approval. Usually 1-3 days turnaround time.
- Review and Approval times have changed recently, allow 4-6 weeks for each phase (Installation/MACOM, USATCES and DDESB) of the approval process after the final document has been submitted to DA.
- In some cases (if justified in writing) Army interim approval may be granted. This is requested by e-mail or memorandum from the Design Center or Installation POC.



- Formerly Used Defense Sites (FUDS) routing for safety submissions.
 - US Army Corps of Engineers (USACE) Design Centers will submit the final submission to the EMCX for DRU approval. No issues 1-3 day turnaround.
 - Environmental and Munitions Center of Expertise (CEHNC-EMM) will provide DRU Approval memo and then forward to USATCES. No issues 1-3 days.
 - ► USATCES will review/forward to DDESB. 4-6 wks
 - DDESB will review and approve or provide comments for resolution which will follow the same process in reverse. 4-6 wks

- BRAC and Active installations (AEC) routing for safety submissions where USACE is actively involved in the project.
 - Design Center will submit the submission with MACOM approval memorandum to the EMCX for Direct Reporting Unit (DRU) concurrence.
 - EMCX will provide to the design center POC the package with DRU (USACE) concurrence memo. No issues 1-3 days
 - Design center will forward final submission with DRU memo to the BRAC or installation POC. 1 day
 - ► BRAC or installation will forward to USATCES.
 - USATCES will review and then forward to DDESB.



- BRAC and Active installations (AEC) routing for safety submissions final approvals.
 - Design center will submit final DDESB approved submission with all approvals to the EMCX for record keeping unless the EMCX is processing for the MACOM.
 - BRAC or installations can request (thru the design center) in their approval memo for the EMCX to submit on their behalf. If this is requested, then the submission will follow the same routing as a FUDS submission and the USACE will be kept in the loop when approvals are provided.

NDAI/NOFA ESS

- ► See SAIE-ESOH memo 4 Feb 2014
- If you have an approved ESP or CSP submit NDAI ESS. If not nothing is required

AAR

If you have an approved ESS or CSS submit an AAR after the Munitions Response is complete



National Guard Bureau Explosive Safety submissions.

- HQ NGB has provided the USACE authority to approve and process all Explosives Safety submission which the USACE is actively involved. Funding for these submissions must be provided.
- Follow FUDS processing procedures previously mentioned for these submissions.
- The EMCX can provide courtesy reviews on projects which the corps is not participating, but no DRU memo will be issued only an e mail stating the status of the document will be provided.







Questions???





