

Adaptive Management Approach

- Consultation with USEPA & SCDHEC to implement an Adaptive Management Approach
 - Media-specific remedies implemented in steps and evaluated
 - A step-wise approach to design and implement technically appropriate and cost effective remedial actions





Problem:

Erosion of tailings and tailings acting as a source to acid mine drainage (AMD) to groundwater and surface water near the Site.

Objectives:

- Promote evapotranspiration to reduce infiltration through tailings
- Stabilize tailings and impoundment features to prevent erosion
- Address visual eyesore



Select Actions

Pre-Construction Existing Conditions

- AOC 1,2, and 5 tailings mostly silica sand
- ► AOC 3 (former pyrite storage area) tailings larger sized waste rock
- ▶ 0% organic matter content
- pH of 2-2.5 standard units
- No plant available nutrients
- Highly susceptible to erosion from wind and stormwater
- Periodic deposition of mine tailings onto public ROWs
- Variations in site topography from relatively flat tailings impoundments to steep undulating slopes
- Deeply incised stormwater erosion channels
- Impoundment dams not maintained (erosion breaches and large tree growth)

<u>Actions</u>

- Establish Vegetative Cover as Source Control
 - Promote evapotranspiration to reduce infiltration through the tailings (mitigate a major AMD source)
 - Increase organic matter content and pH of the shallow tailings so that revegetation can occur
 - Establish Stabilized Stormwater Drainage Conveyance Channels
 - Control surface run-off (mitigate erosion/sedimentation impacts from stormwater)
 - Rehabilitate Tailings Impoundment Dams
 - Implement stability measures (mitigate breaches)
 - Establish acceptable factors of safety (long-term steady state and seismic conditions)





Vegetative Cover Study and Site Revitalization Timeline





Site Rehabilitation

Select Actions – Pilot Study (Ridge and Furrow Technique)



- Client had successful experience with revegetation at another kyanite mine site
- Approach for Henry Knob was to pilot-test vegetative pilot study using similar methods
 - Develop organic layer (wheat straw) to minimize wicking of acidic groundwater to the root zone
 - Add alkaline material (limestone) to neutralize acidity



- Recontour tailings with ridges and furrows to promote leaching of the surface acidity from the ridges and accumulation of rainfall in the furrows
- Incorporate fertilizer (standard 10-10-10) and native seed mixtures to provide short term and long term reclamation
- > Apply surface layer of mulch (wheat straw) and agricultural tackifier to slow moisture evaporation



Select Actions – Pilot Study (Ridge and Furrow Technique) Continued

amec foster wheeler

- Ridge and Furrow Test Plot installed fall 2013
- Not successful, plot abandoned in 2015



Ridge and Furrow Test Plot Lessons Learned

- Depth to groundwater considered a key difference between the Henry Knob Site and other site where ridge and furrow technique was successful
- Organic material application rate too low
- Use of hydroseeding methods not believed to be effective

Select Actions – Pilot Study (Standard Farming)

- ▶ Back to basics keep it simple, keep it sustainable
- Relied upon Amec Foster Wheeler personnel with agricultural backgrounds and outreach to the local farming community
- Standard Farming approach
 - Eliminate ridge and furrow technique
 - Utilize a traditional farm tractor and PTO driven rototiller
 - Use of leaf compost to introduce organic matter
 - Use of turkey/hen and horse manure
 - Increase seed application rate
 - Use mechanical seeding in lieu of hydroseeding
 - Incorporate a garden type, high calcium, hydrated lime for immediate and short-term pH buffering of acidic soil
 - Test the application of a commercial soil supplement to modify soil textural properties
 - Test the application of a water retention agent (horticultural product)
 - Increase surface mulch (wheat straw) application rate







Select Actions – Pilot Study (Standard Farming Technique) Continued

- Standard Farming Test Plot installed fall 2014
- Very successful, carried to full scale design for AOC 1, 2, and 5

October 2014

June 2015

June 2016



Standard Farming Test Plot Lessons Learned

- Local knowledge and resources important to selecting appropriate materials
- Amend existing tailings to minimize material import quantities
- Mechanical seeding effective
- Reduce agricultural lime application rate
- Increased organic matter content critical

July 2017





Select Actions – Pilot Study (Standard Farming Technique) Continued



Standard Farming Technique - Soil pH Results



Select Actions – Pilot Study (AOC 3 Vegetative Cover)



Completed September 2015 and included:

- Construction of a vegetative cover
- Stormwater drainage channel/controls

Materials used during construction:

- 650 tons of rip rap (channels)
- 600 cubic yards growing medium (leaf compost)
- 25 tons of lime
- 750 pounds of fertilizer
- 90 pounds of grass seed mix

Challenges:

- Site access constraints
- Location of nearby residence
- Physical properties of tailings/material
- Working within ROW of SC Highway 55

Lessons learned:

- AOC 3 material properties are unlike other tailings (additional application of growing medium required)
- Incorporate stormwater controls/channel in designs
- Leaf compost sources and variations in product





- (3) ONLY USE PRE-INOCULATED LEGUMES OR AN APPROPRIATE INOCULANT WITH THE SEED AT PLANTING
- (4) RYE GRAIN: DO NOT USE ANNUAL ITALIAN RYE GRASS (Lolium multiforum)

Select Actions – Pilot Study (AOC 3 Vegetative Cover) Continued



AOC 3 Pre-Construction (2013)



October 2015 (2 weeks after seeding)

February 2016







Select Actions Transition to Full-Scale Remedy



Full Scale Remedy Selection

- A Process of Refinement
 - Review results of initial monitoring and analyses
 - Continue outreach to the local community
 - Further assessment of available products and innovative technologies
 - Look for system optimization opportunities
 - Consider long-term sustainability and minimization of maintenance requirements
- Incorporation of sitewide drainage channel and dam stability improvements
- Substitution of leaf matter and manure with locally sourced, plant based, food scrap and leaf compost
- Inclusion of pollinator / wildflower seed mix



MATERIAL/ACTIVITY	APPLICATION RATE	DESCRIPTION			
SITE PREPARATION	NOT APPLICABLE	PERFORM LAYOUT OF VEGETATIVE COVER AREA AND TILL/DISC UPPER 12-18 INCHES			
MULCH HAY	22 ROUND BALES/ACRE	INCORPORATE INTO THE UPPER 12-18 INCHES (DISC/TILL)			
ORGANIC COMPOST	9 INCH THICK LAYER	INCORPORATE INTO THE UPPER 12-18 INCHES (DISC/TILL)			
LIME GARDEN TYPE, HIGH CALCIUM, HYDRATED LIME, MIN. CCE 110%	½ TON/ACRE	SHALL CONFORM TO THE CURRENT RULES, REGULATIONS, AND STANDARDS OF THE FERTILIZER BOARD OF CONTROL - INCORPORATE INTO AMENDE TAILINGS BY TILLING			
LIMESTONE AGRICULTURAL GRADE, COARSE GROUND, MIN. CCE 95%	20 TONS/ACRE	SHALL CONFORM TO THE CURRENT RULES, REGULATIONS, AND STANDARDS OF THE FERTILIZER BOARD OF CONTROL - INCORPORATE INTO AMENDI TAILINGS BY TILLING			
WATER RETENTION AGENT PROFILE PRODUCTS, AQUAGEL SERIES B	IN ACCORDANCE WITH MANUFACTURER'S RECOMMENDATIONS	PROFILE PRODUCTS, AQUAGEL SERIES B INCORPORATE INTO THE UPPER 6 INCHES			
Fertilizer (Synthetic) 10-10-10	1,000 LBS/ACRE	FERTILIZER SHALL COMPLY WITH THE SOUTH CAROLINA FERTILIZER LAWS – USE OF LIQUID FERTILIZER WILL NOT BE PERMITTED WORK INTO AMENDED TAILINGS A MINIMUM OF 2 INCHES			
SEED *SEE TABLE BELOW	*SEE TABLE BELOW	SEED SHALL CONFORM TO THE STATE LAWS AND TH REQUIREMENTS AND REGULATIONS OF THE SOUTH CAROLINA DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE (SCDA) SEED BY MECHANICAL METHODS (NO HYDRO SEEDING PERMITTED)			
WHEAT STRAW	200 BALES/ACRE	APPLY DRY WHEAT STRAW FREE FROM MOLD DAMAGE AND NOXIOUS WEEDS AS SURFACE MULCH USING BALE CHOPPER/STRAW BLOWER			
Tackifier Profile Products, ConTack	40 LBS/ACRE, IN ACCORDANCE WITH MANUFACTURER'S RECOMMENDATIONS	SHALL MEET THE REQUIREMENTS OF THE SCDOT STANDARD SPECIFICATIONS FOR HIGHWAY CONSTRUCTION APPLY IN SOLUTION BY HYDRO SPRAYING			

COMMON NAME	SCIENTIFIC NAME	SEEDING	RATE	(POUNDS/ACRE)
COMMON BERMUDA GRASS	Cynodon dactylon			15
CLOVERS (NATIVE MIX)	Trifolium spp.			37.5
FOXTAIL MILLET	Setaria italica			60
LITTLE BLUESTEM	Schizachyrium scoparium (andropogon scoparius)			15
RESCUEGRASS	Bromus catharticus			15
SWITCHGRASS	Panicum virgatum L. (virgatum)			7.5
TALL FESCUE (KY31)	Lolium arundinaceum			15
WEEPING LOVEGRASS	Eragrostis curvula		52	7.5
		T	IATO	172.5

SEED SPECIFICATION NOTE: CONTRACTOR SHALL INCORPORATE MMF POLLINATOR MIX (OR APPROVED EQUAL) CONSISTING OF WILDFLOWER SEED ONLY (NO GRASSES) FROM MELLOW MARSH FARM, INC., SILEY CITY, NORTH CAROLINA AT AN APPLICATION RATE OF 15 LBS/ACRES.



Pollinator Protection at Superfund Sites

Incorporating Pollinator Protection into Superfund Cleanup

<u>When</u>: Remedial investigations and feasibility studies, the remedial design process and five-year reviews are excellent windows of opportunity.

How: Examples of ways to integrate pollinator protection into cleanup plans include:

- Convert capped areas to low-maintenance, pollinator-friendly habitat.
- Integrate pollinator habitat as part of stream restoration projects.
- Consider integrated pest management with ecological reuse.
- Allow beehives on site, partnering with local beekeepers.

<u>*Why*</u>: Focusing on pollinator protection during the Superfund process can:

- > Decrease site management and maintenance costs.
- Beautify communities and strengthen local relationships.
- Increase food security.



Where Can I Learn More About Pollinator Protection?

- Native Plant Information Network Database: <u>http://www.wildflower.org/plants</u>
- Pollinator Partnership Ecoregion Planting Guide: <u>http://www.pollinator.org/guides.htm</u>
- ► Native Plant Nursery Directory: <u>http://www.plantnative.org</u>
- EPA Information on Protecting Bees and Other Pollinators from Pesticides: <u>http://www2.epa.gov/pollinator-protection</u>



8 8 8 8	6	Mix	
Species	Common Name		
Bidens aristosa	Bidens	0.18	
Coreopsis tinctoria	Plains coreopsis	0.20	
Coreopsis lanceolata	Lance leaf tickseed	0.20	
Achillea millefolium	Common yarrow	0.18	
Rudbeckia hirta	Blackeyed susan	0.12	
Senna hebecarpa	Wild senna	0.04	
Helianthus maximilianii	Maximilian's sunflower	0.04	
Verbena hastata	Blue vervain	0.02	
Aster umbellatus	White topped aster	0.02	
		1.00	

Design and Implement Actions Transition to Full-Scale Remedy



Full-Scale Remedy Design Development for AOCs 1, 2, and 5

September 2015 thru May 2017



Design and Implement Actions Transition to Full-Scale Remedy



- Sitewide Rehabilitation and Revitalization (AOC 1, 2, and 5)
 - September 2015 thru December 2017 (design/construct)
- Rehabilitation of 65 +/- acres included:
 - Construction of stormwater controls (grading and drainage improvements and permanent stabilization of channels)
 - Dam stability improvements (removal of existing woody vegetation, grading, armoring of the dam surface, and installation of inclinometers to monitor slope stability
 - Construction of a vegetative cover (incorporated into the top 18 inches of the tailings)





Product	AOC 1	AOC 2	AOC 5	Total	Unit of Measure
Riprap (dam faces and channels)	6,000	15,000	12,000	33,000	Ton
Stone (dam faces, roads, and ACB infill)		3,000	3,000	9,500	Ton
Common Fill (dam faces)	3,500	8,500	2,000	14,000	Ton
Organic Compost	18,500	4,000	21,000	43,500	Cubic Yard
Hydrated Lime	8	1	9	18	Ton
Agricultural Lime	300	80	300	680	Ton
Fertilizer	15,000	4,000	17,000	36,000	Lb
Water Retention Agent	4,750	750	5,250	10,750	Lb
Round Hay Bales	350	25	300	675	Each
Square Wheat Straw Bales	1,200	350	1,250	2,800	Each
Native Grass & Pollinator Seed Mix	3,200	1,100	4,000	8,300	Lb
Agricultural Tackifier	600	200	700	1,500	Lb
Articulated Concrete Block (ACB) Mattresses	10,200	2,700	2,700	15,600	SF



Stormwater Controls – From this...





Stormwater Controls – To this...





Dam Stability - From this.....





Dam Stability – To this...





Vegetative Cover – From this...









Vegetative Cover – To this...







Dam Stability – From this.....





Dam Stability – To this.....







AOC 5 Prior to Construction



AOC 5 December 4, 2017



Design and Implement Actions Henry Knob Revitalization Overview





Henry Knob Revitalization Questions





Eugene Shephard, PE, LEP Eugene.Shephard@woodplc.com

Paul Johnstone, PG Paul.Johnstone@woodplc.com

Jason Provencher, PE, PMP, CPM Jason.Provencher@woodplc.com



Project awarded the 2017 EPA Superfund Reuse Award in Region 4

Link to USEPA Ecological Revitalization of Contaminated Sites Case Study on Henry's Knob: https://semspub.epa.gov/work/HQ/100000929.pdf



