

Electrokinetic remediation (EKR) was performed to reduce the risk of Cd, Cu, Ni, Pb, and Zn associated with different fractions of lake sediments. Batch experiments were performed to estimate the optimal concentration of EDTA, nitric acid, and acetic acid to effectively dissolve heavy metals from sediments and minimize Fe and Al dissolution to maintain good soil health. The effect of pH on the dissolution of heavy metals with optimized concentration was studied separately. An EDTA concentration of 0.01 M enhanced heavy metal dissolution (38-88%) in the pH range of 2-12. A 0.05 M concentration of nitric acid and acetic acid enhanced the heavy metal dissolution 18-85% and 15-80%, respectively, in the pH range 2-6. Increasing pH above 6 for nitric acid and acetic acid formed metal hydroxides and carbonates, which reduced heavy metal dissolution efficiency. EKR experiments were conducted in a reactor with optimal concentrations of EDTA (0.01 M), nitric acid, and acetic acid (0.05 M) as electrolyte and sediment saturation solution for 7-21 days of treatment time. After 21 days of EKR with EDTA, an average of 46.4-78.8% of heavy metals were removed. The associated risk with Cd, Pb, Zn, and Cu was reduced from high to low, and Ni was reduced from high to medium. EKR treatment using nitric acid and acetic acid removed an average of 17.2-43.60% and 24.9-57.2%, respectively, of heavy metals; all heavy metals posed medium risk, except for Cd, which showed low risk to the environment.

ESTIMATING COSTS FOR NITRATE AND PERCHLORATE TREATMENT FOR SMALL DRINKING WATER SYSTEMS

Khera, R., P. Ransom, M. Guttridge, and T.F. Speth.
AWWA Water Science 3(2):e1224(2021)

Several new EPA models were utilized to estimate the cost of nitrate and perchlorate treatment for small drinking water systems. The least-cost option varied among the three depending on system size when comparing the three technologies for a typical set of design choices and drinking water quality conditions. The relationship varied with changes to the water quality and design factors such as, but not restricted to, influent nitrate and perchlorate concentrations, the choice of residual management options, and the presence of co-contaminants and competing ions. <https://awwa.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/epdf/10.1002/was.7.1224>

LONG-TERM ASSESSMENT OF 1,4-DIOXANE UPTAKE VIA DUCKWEED WITH EMPHASIS ON OPERATIONAL PARAMETERS

Osama, R., M.G. Ibrahim, A. Elreedy, and M. Fujii.
Materials Science Forum 1008:121-127(2020)

Three duckweed (Lemna gibba) -pond continual stream (DWS) lab-scale reactors (DW1), two ponds (DW2), and three ponds (DW3) were operated at variable hydraulic retention times of 2, 4, and 6 days, respectively, to phytoremediate wastewater containing 1,4-dioxane. The removal efficiency of 1,4-dioxane, chemical oxygen demand, total organic carbon, and ammonia were high in DW3, which also had slightly higher 1,4-dioxane (56.9 ± 25%) and NH₄-N (56.9 ± 25%) removal efficiencies than in DW2 (44.8 ± 19.6% and 81.9 ± 8.6%, respectively). The average effluent pH at DW3 decreased from 8.80 to 7.45, TDS decreased from 921.5 ± 120.6 to 837.6 ± 83.6 mg/L, and dissolved oxygen increased from 3.5 ± 1.9 to 7.5 ± 3 mg/L. Eventually, DWS removed 1,4-dioxane effectively from wastewater and represent an effective, low-operation, eco-friendly, and low-maintenance technology.

PERFORMANCE EVALUATION AND NEURAL NETWORK MODELING OF TRICHLOROETHYLENE REMOVAL USING A CONTINUOUSLY OPERATED TWO-PHASE PARTITIONING BIOREACTOR

Baskaran, D., A. Sinharoy, T. Paul, K. Pakshirajan, and R. Rajamanickam.
Environmental Technology & Innovation 17:100568(2020)

The aim of this study was to evaluate the performance of a two-phase, continuous stirred tank bioreactor (CSTB) for removing TCE by *Rhodococcus opacus*. Biodegradable organic solvent (silicone oil) was added to improve the TCE removal. The effect of inlet TCE concentration in the range 0.3-3.44 g/m³ on TCE removal was studied for continuously for 77 days. Overall, results indicated that the addition of silicone oil could efficiently improve TCE removal in a CSTB.

General News

STANDARDIZING POLYMERIC SAMPLING METHOD FOR MEASURING FREELY-DISSOLVED ORGANIC CONTAMINANTS IN SEDIMENT POREWATER

Michalson, M., A. Kennedy, G. Lotufo, K. Kerns, A. Suess, M. Lin, M. Mills, M. Lambert, D. Reible, M. Rakowska, A. Odetayo, U. Ghosh, M. Bokare, S. Yan, and P. Gschwend. ESTCP ER-201735, 522 pp, 2020

The primary purpose of this effort was to demonstrate standardized polymeric sampler procedures to measure freely-dissolved organic contaminant concentrations (C_{free}) in sediment porewater by multiple academic- and private-sector analytical laboratories, thereby increasing commercial availability promoting wider acceptance, and increased use. Commercial and academic laboratories participated in three separate tasks to test and validate standard methods for polymeric sampling, culminating in the final task of using the standardized polymeric sampling method to measure C_{free} PAHs and PCBs in a sediment sample. This report features an example scope of work and cost estimate intended to support end-users in developing scopes and cost estimates for their sediment porewater characterization projects using polymeric samplers. <https://www.serfp-estcp.org/content/download/153444/544644/file/ER-201735%20Final%20Report.pdf>

SUSTAINABLE EX-SITU REMEDIATION OF CONTAMINATED SEDIMENT: A REVIEW

Zhang, Y., C. Labianca, L. Chen, S. De Gisi, M. Notarnicola, B. Guo, J. Sun, S. Ding, and L. Wang. | Environmental Pollution 287:117333(2021)

This paper critically reviews the state-of-art ex situ treatment technologies and resource utilization methods for contaminated sediment. Applying different techniques can successfully transform sediment into sustainable construction materials, such as ceramsite, supplementary cementitious materials, fill materials, paving blocks, partition blocks, ready-mixed concrete, and foamed concrete. Proper remediation technologies should be selected and designed according to the physical and chemical characteristics of sediment, without neglecting important aspects, such as cost, safety, environmental impacts, readiness level of the technology, and social acceptability. Combining different assessment methods (e.g., environmental impact assessment, cost-benefit analysis, multi-criteria decision analysis, and life cycle assessment) should be employed to comprehensively evaluate the feasibility of different sustainable remediation technologies.

PHYTOREMEDIATION ADVANCES FACT SHEET

Naval Facilities Engineering Command, 4 pp, 2021

This fact sheet focuses on recent advances in the application of phytoremediation to control contaminants in soil, groundwater, surface water, or sediments and provides examples of full-scale case studies.

https://www.navfac.navy.mil/content/dam/navfac/Specialty%20Centers/Engineering%20and%20Expeditionary%20Warfare%20Center/Environmental/Restoration/er_pdfs/final%20PhytoremediationAdvances_FactSheet.pdf

HEAVY METAL WATER POLLUTION: A FRESH LOOK ABOUT HAZARDS, NOVEL AND CONVENTIONAL REMEDIATION METHODS

Zamora-Ledezma, C., D. Negrete-Bolagay, F. Figueroa, E. Zamora-Ledezma, M. Ni, F. Alexis, and V.H. Guerrero. | Environmental Technology & Innovation 22:101504(2021)

This work discusses recent and relevant findings related to the release of heavy metals, potential environmental and human health risks, and removal materials and technologies available; outlines health hazards derived from repeated exposure to heavy metals, including lead, Cd, Hg, and Ar; and provides perspectives regarding techniques used to detect heavy metals and factors that could affect contaminant removal. Advantages and drawbacks of conventional and unconventional heavy metal removal methods are critically discussed, particularly those related to adsorption, nanostructured materials, and plant-mediated remediation. Commercial products currently used to eliminate heavy metals from water are provided. The work concludes with requirements and opportunities linked to developing efficient methods for heavy metal removal, such as ones that exploit nanotechnologies.

SAMPLE COLLECTION PROCEDURES FOR RADIOCHEMICAL ANALYTES IN ENVIRONMENTAL MATRICES

Hall, K., EPA 600-R-20-247, 122 pp, 2020

The procedures described in this document are intended to provide instructions to collect environmental samples to analyze for radiological contaminants following an intentional or unintentional contamination incident or emergency. This document focuses on the Site Characterization Phase, Remediation Phase, and Final Status Survey Phase (site release) of a contamination incident. The procedures describe sample collection and are intended for use by personnel trained in radiological sampling techniques and corresponding radiation safety. It is also assumed that an initial site assessment has been performed before implementing the procedures. https://cfpub.epa.gov/si/public_record/Report.cfm?dirEntryId=35057981&ab=CESEP

OHM SPONGE: A VERSATILE, EFFICIENT, AND ECOFRIENDLY ENVIRONMENTAL REMEDIATION PLATFORM

Nandwana, V., S.M. Ribet, R.D. Reis, Y. Kuang, Y. More, and V.P. Dravid.
Industrial & Engineering Chemistry Research 59(23):10945-10954(2020)

An oleophilic, hydrophobic, and magnetic (OHM) sponge is an oil spill remediation solution that is economical, efficient, and ecofriendly; and may be a potentially industry-adaptable approach. The OHM sponge can selectively remove the oil from the oil/water interface, recover the oil by a simple squeezing process, and is reusable. The sponge works effectively in diverse and extreme aquatic conditions and can absorb a variety of oils and oil-based compounds. The selective absorption/desorption, recovery, high absorption capacity, and reusability under one platform open new prospects for potentially sustainable water and environmental remediation applications.

The Technology Innovation News Survey welcomes your comments and suggestions, as well as information about errors for correction. Please contact Michael Adam of the U.S. EPA Office of Superfund Remediation and Technology Innovation at adam_michael@epa.gov or (703) 603-9915 with any comments, suggestions, or corrections.

Mention of non-EPA documents, presentations, or papers does not constitute a U.S. EPA endorsement of their contents, only an acknowledgment that they exist and may be relevant to the Technology Innovation News Survey audience.