

Using Hybrid Poplar to Meet "No Further Action" Criteria for an Organic Solvent Site

Craig L. Just VP for Research and Commercial Development Ecolotree Co-author, Louis A. Licht Ecolotree President



Outline



- What is "No Further Action"
- Challenges to "No Further Action"
- Environmental Liability and "No Further Action"
- Central Iowa Solvent Spill Site
- "Significant" Contaminant Removal
- Conclusions



What is No Further Action?



- "No Further Action" status is a desirable goal for responsible parties at cleanup sites
 - Regulatory acknowledgement that human health and the environment is protected for a given use
 - A definite corrective action end date is in place
 - Property use restrictions are documented



Challenges to "No Further Action"



- Protection of human health and the environment must be ensured
- Many can benefit from well-written NFA letters
 - Responsible parties, regulators, landowners, investors, lenders
- But, NFA letters are often filled with non-specific caveats
 - "No further action at this time"
 - "No further action but case may reopened"
- Clear regulatory triggers are needed



Environmental Liability and NFA



- Responsible parties view the letter as signifying the end of *corrective action* with consideration for site conditions and restricted uses
- Environmental liability still remains should site conditions change or if new uses are proposed

Our news snippet culture is a problem for NFA: *"No Further Action Status Granted to Toxic Waste Site"*



© 2004 Ecolotree. In All Rights Reserved

http://www.epa.gov/swerust1/rbdm/nfalettr.htm

Phytoremediation and NFA

- Without NFA status, interested parties are likely to push for cleanup strategies more aggressive than phytoremediation
- The additional expense of more aggressive technologies may cause unnecessary economic hardship
- Phytoremediation will have to continually prove itself as a worthwhile technology for solvent spill sites.



© 2004 Ecolotree. In All Rights Reserved

Central Iowa Solvent Spill Site



- Phase I and Limited Phase II Assessment Jan 2001
 - Identified groundwater impact areas (PCE, BTEX)
- Three distinct source areas:
 - Area one PCE
 - Area two highest PCE and some BTEX
 - Area three PCE near property line (biowall installation)





Central Iowa solvent spill site remediated with Ebuffers®

©2004 Ecolotree, Inc.

All Rights Reserved

•GW = 11-14 ft bgs •GW flow = NE •Fine and medium grain sands •Tight clay 13-21 ft bgs







Phytoforensics near monitoring well two

•PCE over 20,000 ug/L initially (MCL=5)
•Toxic effects to trees were evident



Monitored Natural Attenuation



- "Source control and long-term performance monitoring will be fundamental components of any monitored natural attenuation (MNA) remedy"
- "MNA should not be considered a default or presumptive remedy at any contaminated site"
 - sound technical analyses which provide confidence in natural attenuation's ability to achieve remediation objectives
 - performance monitoring
 - contingency (or backup) remedies where appropriate



Requirements for No Further Action

- 02004 Ecolotree, Inc. All Rights Reserved
- Historical groundwater and/or soil chemistry data that demonstrate a clear and meaningful trend of decreasing contaminant mass and/or concentration over time at appropriate monitoring or sampling points.
- Hydrogeologic and geochemical data that can be used to demonstrate indirectly the type(s) of natural attenuation processes active at the site, and the rate at which such processes will reduce contaminant concentrations to required levels.
- Data from field or microcosm studies (conducted in or with actual contaminated site media) which directly demonstrate the occurrence of a particular natural attenuation process at the site and its ability to degrade the contaminants of concern.



Potential Triggers



- Contaminant concentrations in soil or groundwater at specified locations exhibit an increasing trend not originally predicted during remedy selection
- Near-source wells exhibit large concentration increases indicative of a new or renewed release
- Contaminants are identified in monitoring wells located outside of the original plume boundary
- Contaminant concentrations are not decreasing at a sufficiently rapid rate to meet the remediation objectives
- Changes in land and/or groundwater use will adversely affect the protectiveness of the MNA remedy





O2004 Ecolotree, Inc. All Rights Reserved

Tetrachloroethene

concentration in groundwater is trending downward in monitoring well three.

Is this a *sufficiently rapid rate* of contaminant decrease?







1,1,1-TCA concentration in groundwater is trending downward at MW3.







Toluene concentration in groundwater is trending downward at MW3.







Xylenes concentration in groundwater is trending downward at MW3.



Conclusions



























