Mercury Measurements for Solids Made Rapidly, Simply, and Inexpensively

Thomas A. Hinners Research Chemist U.S. EPA, ORD, NERL, ESD, Las Vegas, NV

Seminar for U.S. EPA Region 9 ORD Product Expo 8 February 2005

<u>NOTICE</u>: Although this work was reviewed by EPA and approved for publication, it may not necessarily reflect official Agency policy. Mention of trade names or commercial products does not constitute endorsement or recommendation for use.

- Traditional methods for determining mercury in solid samples involve the use of aggressive chemicals to dissolve the matrix and the use of other chemicals to properly reduce the mercury to the volatile elemental form.
- In contrast, *pyrolysis-based analyzers* can be used by directly weighing the solid in a sampling boat and initiating the instrumental analysis for total mercury.
- Although not well suited for trace-level analyses of liquids because of the limited capacity (0.5 to 1.0 mL) of the sampling boat, such pyrolysis-based mercury analyzers (EPA Method 7473) have the following advantages:



• Application Capabilities:

- non-lethal monitoring of fish (e.g., tissue biopsy)
- longitudinal analysis of hair (to locate peak-exposure periods)
- exposure assessments for other tissues (e.g., feathers, fur, toenails, botanicals)
- near real-time monitoring of contaminated soil and sediment during remediations
- coal-fired power plant emissions (from difference between coal Hg and solid waste Hg)
- speciation for mercury in tissues (via suitable extracts of the methyl mercury)



Analyzer basics

- Sampling boat (ca 0.25 x 0.25 x 1.5 inch)
- Pyrolysis at <u>>750</u> °C in air or oxygen flow
- Catalytic trap
- Amalgamator (one or more)
- Delay before amalgamator heat purged
- Atomic-absorption detection at 254 nm
- Method 7473 & instrument providers
 - (see links on "Additional Resources" page)

Fish investigations

- Statistically equivalent results by blind analyses of freeze-dried whole-fish homogenates containing Hg between 0.10 and 2.26 ng/mg (ppm) Hg in collaboration with the USGS in Missouri (Dr. Brumbaugh)
- For fish from the National Park Service, fillet biopsy-plug Hg correlated with whole-fish homogenate Hg (r² = 0.976) with the latter between 64 & 80% of the former depending upon the fillet-Hg level
- EMAP whole-fish homogenates were analyzed in collaboration with EPA-CIN (SETAC 2002 Abstracts, P647, p. 287, Salt Lake City, Utah)



Fish investigations

- Non-lethal fillet biopsy sampling of fish has been successfully utilized for selenium (by NAA) in an endangered species (Waddell & May, Arch. Environ. Contam. Tox. 28:321-326, 1995), and is feasible for Hg using a pyrolysis analyzer
- To remove an uncertainty in fish-Hg data, the wet-tissue basis (cited in EPA and FDA guidance) could be defined as a specified moisture percentage (such as 78.5% in The National Survey of Mercury Concentrations in Fish, Summary 1990 -1995, EPA-823-R-99-014)



Other tissue applications

- Feathers detectable Hg levels found
- Fur Collaboration with Alaska
- Toenails cardio health study in Europe
- Botanicals pine needles, leaves, bark

Waste Applications

 Field application during soil remediation has been described by Boylan, Kingston,

and Richter (Proceedings of the 14th Annual Waste Testing and Quality Assurance Symposium, Arlington, VA, July 1998)

 Mercury emissions from coal combustion via mass balance for the difference between Hg in the coal and in the captured solid waste (Boylan, Cain, and Kingston, J. Air & Waste Manage. Assoc. 53:1318-1325, 2003)

Mercury Speciation

- Methyl mercury results for KOH digests of fish tissues followed by partitioning into toluene agreed (r² = 0.998) with results from gas chromatography in collaboration with Steve Pyle in our branch (AOAC Method 983.20)
- Inorganic mercury values in Health Canada Mercury-in-Hair samples (via the difference between total Hg and the acid-extracted methyl mercury) have been within the acceptance ranges