Effective Characterization Technologies

Deana M. Crumbling, M.S. Technology Innovation Office U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Washington, D.C. (703) 603-0643 crumbling.deana@epa.gov

RCRA-BF Internet Seminar, December 8, 2003

Successful Cleanup Actions or Monitoring Depends on An Accurate CSM

What is a Conceptual Site Model?

A CSM is any tool(s) that lets you represent, "conceptualize" or "model" site contamination issues and concentration populations so you can make predictions about nature, extent, risk, and risk reduction strategies

2

project constraints?

Project constraints: costs, time, desired/preferred site reuse/project outcome

Stated another way...

A CSM represents your best estimate for

- where the contamination is
- what is happening to it
- how someone or something might be exposed, and
- what you are going to do to stop any unacceptable exposures.

To make good project decisions, build a more accurate CSM!

To develop a more accurate CSM, you need data from the site.

The Triad approach is a framework for integrating modern data generation technologies with efficient work strategies so CSMs can be built "faster, cheaper, and better."

Just 2 examples of these technologies are presented.



Passive Diffusion Bag (PDB) samplers consist of a low-density polyethylene sleeve, filled with laboratory-grade deionized water, and closed at both ends. Pictured here are three types of diffusion samplers commonly used and commercially available. The samplers on the left are supplied prefilled with laboratory-grade deionized water, and the sampler on the right is field-fillable. PDB samplers employ patented technology (U.S. patent number 5,804,743), and therefore, require that the user purchase commercially produced samplers from a licensed manufacturer or purchase a non-exclusive license for sampler construction from the U.S. Geological Survey Technology Enterprise Office, Mail Stop 211, National Center, 12201 Sunrise Valley Drive, Reston, Virginia 20192 (telephone 703 648-4344; fax 703 648-4408).



That is where the diffusion samplers come in. PDB samplers are deployed in the screened interval and allowed to equilibrate. After sufficient equilibration, the samplers are removed and the enclosed water is transferred to volatile organic analysis (VOA) vials. Thereafter, the samples are treated as ordinary VOA samples.



Huffman, R.L. (2002) Comparison of Passive Diffusion Bag Samplers and Submersible Pump Sampling Methods for Monitoring Volatile Organic Compounds in Ground Water at Area 6, Naval Air Station Whidbey Island, Washington. U.S. Geological Survey Water-Resources Investigations Report 02-4203. Available online at http://water.usgs.gov/pubs/wri/wri024203/

CSM based on traditional sampling is very different from CSM based on more detailed, spatially accurate sampling.



Huffman, R.L. (2002) Comparison of Passive Diffusion Bag Samplers and Submersible Pump Sampling Methods for Monitoring Volatile Organic Compounds in Ground Water at Area 6, Naval Air Station Whidbey Island, Washington. U.S. Geological Survey Water-Resources Investigations Report 02-4203. Available online at http://water.usgs.gov/pubs/wri/wri024203/











What the technology is capable of in the hands of a sophisticated user.



Direct-push MIP-ECD taking readings every 2 inches going down to create a vertical profile of contamination in the subsurface.

Soil conductivity results suggest transitions from sandy matrix to clay matrix (higher conductivity in clayey soil). The 7- to 8-ft wide band of contamination is associated with a clay layer in the subsurface. Small, discrete GW samples (i.e., very small sample support) representative of point concentrations were collected using the DP probe and analyzed using GC-MS. The results allow coarse calibration (in units of ug/L = mass TCE per volume of GW) of the magnitude of the ECD response (in voltage units).

What analytical results (low, medium, or high lab results) would be expected if a monitoring well were screened over the various intervals in the animation graphic? (Keep in mind that clay layers may be rather non-permeable to water flow as compared to sandier layers.)

Analytical Results from Heterogeneous Systems Are Determined by How Samples Are Collected ECD LOG 1 (WV) Depending on screen construction, you can get 1 mans 0.0+00 2 5400 completely different GW n results that have nothing 5 to do with the lab or the analytical method. Well Vertical Profile Unless information about screen Results of TCE contaminant distribution #1 ia Onsite GC/MS guides well construction, VS. VS. 19 ug/L GW results can be highly #3 250 uo/L. Well variable and difficult to 2200 uo4. screen #2 5500 ua/L interpret. 45 14

Direct-push MIP-ECD taking readings every 2 inches going down to create a vertical profile of contamination in the subsurface.

Soil conductivity results suggest transitions from sandy matrix to clay matrix (higher conductivity in clayey soil). The 7- to 8-ft wide band of contamination is associated with a clay layer in the subsurface. Small, discrete GW samples (i.e., very small sample support) representative of point concentrations were collected using the DP probe and analyzed using GC-MS. The results allow coarse calibration (in units of ug/L = mass TCE per volume of GW) of the magnitude of the ECD response (in voltage units).

What analytical results (low, medium, or high lab results) would be expected if a monitoring well were screened over the various intervals in the animation graphic? (Keep in mind that clay layers may be rather non-permeable to water flow as compared to sandier layers.)





SMART DATA OUTPUTS

- Built to client specifications
- Interactive 3D
- Easy to view correlation between various data sets

Additional Information

Membrane Interface Probe information

Through Field Analytics Encyclopedia website http://fate.cluin.org
http://fate.cluin.org/direct_push/dpanalytical_main.asp#membrane

Passive Diffusion Samplers information

Through ITRC website http://www.itrcweb.org
http://diffusionsampler.itrcweb.org/common/default.asp

Related information

- EPA TIO's Clu-In website for Triad http://cluin.org/triad
 - Assorted sampling information: http://cluin.org/char1_edu.cfm#samp_coll and http://cluin.org/char1_edu.cfm#stat_samp
 - Archived Triad seminar: http://www.clu-in.org/conf/tio/triad_012303/
- USACE Engineering Manuals (EMs) http://www.usace.army.mil/inet/usace-docs/eng-manuals/em.htm
 - US Army Corps CSM Guidance EM: EM 1110-1-1200
 - US Army Corps TPP Guidance EM: EM 200-1-2
- USACE CRREL Technical Report Library http://www.crrel.usace.army.mil/products/products.html